



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

221

CRM-M No.49456 of 2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision:10.09.2025

Lovejeet Singh @ Love

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA PARTAP SINGH

Present: Mr.Ruhani Chadha, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. K.D. Sachdeva, DAG Punjab.

SURYA PARTAP SINGH, J. (Oral):

1. This is first petition, filed by the petitioner, under Section 483 of BNSS for grant of bail. For the commission of offence punishable under Sections 111(1) of BNS and Sections 25, 25(6), 25(7)(i) and 25(8) of Arms Act, FIR No. 155 dated 21.07.2025 has been lodged in Police Station Khalra, District Tarn Taran. The petitioner is being prosecuted for the commission of above mentioned offences and he has been arrested. The petitioner is still in custody and, therefore, craving for the benefit of bail.

2. The allegations in the abovementioned FIR are that on 21.07.2025 on receipt of tip-off from an informer when a police party headed by Sub Inspector Narinder Singh intercepted a vehicle, two occupants namely Akashdeep Singh @ Kashi and Lovejeet Singh @ Love were found traveling therein and from the possession of accused Akashdeep Singh one pistol with magazine and two live cartridges were recovered, whereas from the possession



of the petitioner 4 live and 2 empty cartridges of 30 bore were recovered.

3. It has been contended that the petitioner has no previous history and that except the offence under Section 111(1) of BNS, all the offences are triable by the Court of Judicial Magistrate.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Since advance notice has already been served, Mr. K.D. Sachdeva, DAG Punjab, appears on behalf of respondent-State, and waives service.

6. Learned State Counsel has filed custody certificate of the petitioner. The same be taken on record. The learned State counsel has opted not to file formal reply to the petition. However, he has orally opposed the present bail petition.

7. Heard.

8. It has been contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case and that use of Section 111(1) of BNS is totally unwarranted in this case, in view of the fact that neither there is any reference of involvement of petitioner in any other crime nor he has ever been prosecuted. It has also been argued by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has already suffered sufficient incarceration for being in custody for a period of 1 and ½ month and the investigation and trial, in the present case, are not likely to be concluded in near future.

9. Per contra, learned State counsel has argued that allegations against the petitioner are of being involved in organized crime and that in the given fact his bail has rightly been rejected by the Court of learned Special



Judge.

10. The record has been perused carefully.

11. A perusal of record shows that in the present case, for the decision of present bail petition, following are the factors which must be taken into consideration for arriving at any conclusion: -

- (1) that the petitioner is already in custody for a period of more than 1 and ½ months;
- (2) that the petitioner has no criminal antecedents;
- (3) that except the offence under Section 111(1) of BNS all the offences are triable by the Court of Judicial Magistrate;
- (4) that nothing is left to be recovered from the possession of petitioner;
- (5) that the trial and investigation are not likely to be concluded in near future;
- (6) that detaining of petitioner in the judicial lock up is not likely to serve any purpose;

12. If cumulative effect of all the above mentioned factors is taken into consideration it leads to a conclusion that the petitioner is entitled for the benefit of bail.

13. Accordingly, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is hereby allowed and the petitioner is admitted to bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court.

(SURYA PARTAP SINGH)
JUDGE

10.09.2025

Manoj Bhutani

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No