

230

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-10096-2024
Date of decision: 29.01.2025

JATINDER SINGH

...PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Manoj R. Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Nitesh Sharma, DAG, Punjab.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. Through the instant criminal writ petition, as filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has sought issuance of directions upon the respondents No.1 to 3, to ensure protection of his life and liberty, inasmuch as, he is being harassed by the police officials concerned.
2. On 19.10.2024, the following order was passed :

“1. Through the instant criminal writ petition, as filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has sought issuance of directions upon the respondents No.1 to 3, to ensure protection of his life and liberty, inasmuch as, he is being harassed by the police officials concerned.

2. Notice of motion.

3. Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, D.A.G., Punjab, waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents, and, he is directed to file a reply, on affidavit of a responsible functionary, detailing therein, as to whether the threat perception alleged by the petitioner genuinely exists or not.

4. List on 21.11.2024.”
3. Learned State counsel, at the very outset, submits that the present

petition is totally misconceived as the threat perception of the petitioner was

dispassionately assessed and his apprehension was found to be false and there is no threat to the life and liberty of the petitioner.

4. In view of the above, no further directions are required to be passed in the present petition and the same stands disposed of.

January 29, 2025
manisha

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|------|---------------------------|--------|
| (i) | Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| (ii) | Whether reportable | Yes/No |