

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2025:PHHC:120908



(106)

**CWP-26345-2025
Decided on : 05.09.2025**

Har Lal

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana & another

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr.Ravinder Malik (Ravi), Advocate, for the petitioner (s).

Mr.Vikrant Pamboo, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (Oral)

1. The prayer in the present petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of Writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to promote the petitioner to the post of Executive Engineer (Horticulture) w.e.f. 07.12.2015 i.e. the date on which he became fully eligible and competent, by counting his experience for the period he held Current Duty Charge of Sub-Divisional Engineer (Horticulture), in view of the provisions of “The Haryana Urban Development Authority Services Regulations, 1989 (as amended from time to time) along with all consequential benefits and particularly in the light of judgment dated 29.11.2021 passed by this Court in CWP-13513-2020 titled “*Naurty Ram Vs. State of Haryana & another*” upheld by Division Bench of this Court vide judgment dated 01.12.2024 (Annexure P-11) passed in LPA-897-2022 and further upheld by the Hon’ble Supreme Court vide order dated 22.01.2025 (Annexure P-12) passed in SLP(Civil) No.9638-2024 titled as “*Chief Administrator Vs. Naurty Ram & another.*”

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be satisfied if the representation dated 06.03.2025 (Annexure P-13) of the petitioner is decided by respondent No.2 by passing a speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Learned State counsel, appearing on advanced notice, submits that he has no objection in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2 for time-bound consideration and decision of the dated 06.03.2025 (Annexure P-13) of the petitioner by passing a speaking order.

4. Therefore, in view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the respondent No.2 is directed to consider the representation dated 06.03.2025 (Annexure P-13) of the petitioner and pass a speaking order, after affording him an opportunity to be heard, within a period of 03 months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order. Further, the decision taken thereof shall be conveyed to the petitioner. Needless to say, if the petitioner is found entitled to the relief sought, the same shall be granted forthwith by the respondent.

5. Disposed of, accordingly.

05.09.2025

Sailesh

**(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes/No

Whether Reportable :

Yes/No