

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

266 Date of Decision: 13.11.2025

1.

CWP-23570-2025

SANDEEP KUMAR

...Petitioner(s)
- Versus
- STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)
2.

CWP-26214-2025

SUBE SINGH

...Petitioner(s)
- Versus
- STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)
3.

CWP-29785-2025

SANJAY KUMAR

...Petitioner(s)
- Versus
- STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present:- Mr. Vikram Sheoran, Advocate with
Ms. Alka Sheoran, Advocate and Ms. Sandeep Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).
(in CWP-23570-2025 and CWP-26214-2025)

Mr. Ranjit Singh Kalra, Advocate
for the petitioner. (in CWP-29785-2025)

Mr. Parveen Mehta, Additional Advocate General, Haryana with
Ms. Tanushree Gupta, Senior Deputy Advocate General,
Haryana.

Mr. Rohit, Advocate for
Mr. Sandeep Kumar Yadav, Advocate
for respondent no.4.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

The aforementioned petitions are based upon similar facts
involving common issues; accordingly, the same are being decided together.

2. For brevity, the facts have been noticed from CWP-23570-2025, which has been filed, *inter alia*, seeking a writ of *certiorari* quashing the order dated 01.03.2025, Annexure P-3, whereby the benefit of second ACP given to the petitioner has been wrongly withdrawn by the fourth respondent/Elementary School Headmaster.
3. Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that after filing of the petition, it came to notice of the second respondent that the order of withdrawing the benefit of second ACP has been passed by the Headmaster, who is not the competent authority. Accordingly, it has been decided to reconsider the matter and pass a fresh reasoned order.
4. In view of the statement made, these petitions are disposed of with a direction to the second respondent/Director, Elementary Education to issue a show cause notice to the petitioners and take their response into consideration before passing of the order. Till then, the impugned order shall not be given effect to. They will also be afforded an opportunity of hearing.
5. A photocopy of this order be placed on the connected files.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

13.11.2025
Ad

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>