



CRM-M-49311-2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

226

CRM-M-49311-2025 (O & M)

Date of decision: 22.09.2025

PUNEET KUMAR

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Sourabh Singla, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S.Bajwa, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Gagandeep Singh Gill, Advocate, for the complainant.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 483 of the BNSS, 2023 is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.70 dated 19.07.2025, registered at Police Station Ghagga, District Patiala, under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 309(6) and 3(5) of BNS, 2023.

2. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner has been in custody for 2 months. He alleges false implication. The allegation against the petitioner is of giving beatings and snatching the mobile of the complainant, who is his neighbour. He further submits that the compromise has been arrived at between the parties. The petitioner is not involved in any other case. Challan stands presented, however, charges are yet to be framed.

CRM-M-49311-2025

3. The custody certificate dated 21.09.2025, filed by the learned State counsel is taken on record. As per the same, the petitioner is behind bars for 2 months.

4. Learned counsel for the complainant affirms the factum of settlement and has no objection for grant of bail to the petitioner.

5. Learned State counsel opposes the bail on the ground that there are specific allegations against the petitioner. He is, however, unable to controvert the submissions made regarding the stage of case and the petitioner being not involved in any other case.

6. Heard.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, in particular that the petitioner is in custody for the last 2 months; compromise has been effected between the parties; not involved in any other case; charges have not been framed so far; the trial is likely to take a considerable time and further incarceration of the petitioner would be violative of his right enshrined under Article 21 of the Constitution of India, the present petition is allowed.

8. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail, subject to furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case and shall abide by the following conditions:-

- (i) The petitioner will not tamper with the evidence during the trial.
- (ii) The petitioner will not pressurize/ intimidate the prosecution witnesses.

CRM-M-49311-2025

- (iii) The petitioner will appear before the trial Court on each and every date fixed, unless is exempted by a specific order of Court.
- (iv) The petitioner shall not commit an offence similar to the offence of which, he is an accused, or for commission of which he is suspected of.
- (v) The petitioner shall not directly or indirectly coerce, induce, threaten or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/ her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence in any manner.
- (vi) The petitioner shall not in any manner misuse his liberty.
- (vii) The petitioner shall furnish his address and mobile number by way of an affidavit to the trial Court and not change the same till conclusion of trial and if for any reasons, he seeks to change either of the aforesaid, it shall be done only with prior information to the learned trial Court.
- (viii) The petitioner shall not leave the country without prior permission of the trial Court.
- (ix) The trial Court/Duty Magistrate may impose any other condition, as deemed appropriate while releasing the petitioner.

9. It is made abundantly clear that in case there is any breach of the aforesaid conditions, the State shall be at liberty to seek cancellation of bail as granted to the petitioner by this order.

10. In view of the above, it is clarified that the observations made herein above are limited for the purpose of present proceedings and would not be construed as any opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the aforesaid observations.

22.09.2025

parveen kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE