

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

119

2025:PHHC:150383



CRM-M-49519-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 31.10.2025.

RAJWINDER SINGH AND OTHERS

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. D.S. Nigha, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Saurav Verma, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed for directing the official respondents to take lawful action against the private respondents No.4 to 9.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner(s) contends that the petitioner No.1 is a religious person running a religious Dera in village Gurri Sangar, Tehsil Gidderbaha, District Sri Muktsar Sahib since long in the name of Sant Kartar Dass Ji. He contends that the private respondents are opposed to the religious activities being undertaken by the petitioners and have been creating obstacles in raising construction by the petitioners.

Pursuant to the directions issued by this Court, reply by way of

affidavit of Arun Mundan, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division, Gidderbaha, District Sri Muktsar Sahib, on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 has been filed in the Court today. A copy thereof has been supplied to the counsel for the petitioners.

The relevant extract of the aforesaid reply reads thus: -

“4. That the complaint (Annexure: P-1) has been inquired by SHO, P.S Kotbhai with the assistance of S.I. (L) Gurtej Singh Incharge PP Doda. After holding a detailed probe into the allegations of the petitioner, it came out that the land in dispute belongs to the Gram Panchayat Guri Sanghar and the petitioner of Dera Sant Kartar Dass has no right, title or interest in the said property. The complainant-petitioner has failed to prove his allegations with any convincing evidence. Moreover the revenue record itself is sufficient to disprove the allegations made by petitioner. Thus in his fact finding report, SHO, P.S Kotbhai recommended no further action is required on the complaint. The report has got approval of Senior Supdt. of Police, Muktsar Sahib on 21-10-2025. The report is annexed herewith as Annexure R-1.”

Counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners have already filed a civil suit and therefore, he seeks withdrawal of the present petition so as to take recourse to the alternative remedies available to the petitioners as per law.

State counsel has no objection to the same.

Disposed of as withdrawn with liberties as aforesaid.

October 31, 2025.

raj arora

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No