



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-9623-2025

Date of decision: 04.09.2025

NEETU RANI AND ANR.

....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL

Present:- Mr. Rajinder Yadav, Advocate for the petitioners.

.....

RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners have filed the instant petition with a prayer to direct the respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and also directing the respondents No.4 to 7 not to interfere in their lives and liberty. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have already submitted a representation dated 01.09.2025 (Annexure P-5) to the Senior Superintendent of Police, District Sri Muktsar Sahib and they shall be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2 to look into their representation dated 01.09.2025 (Annexure P-5).

2. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3, at this stage.

3. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Ravinder Singh, DAG Punjab, who is present in the Court accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.



4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties. In view of the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners and without expressing anything on the merits and claim of the parties, respondent No.2–The Senior Superintendent of Police, District Sri Muktsar Sahib is directed to depute a senior officer to look into the representation dated 01.09.2025 (Annexure P-5) and after seeking a report, he may pass an appropriate order, in accordance with law. This order shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the validity of the marriage of the parties. The parties mentioned in the petition or any other person mentioned in the petition shall be at liberty to take recourse to appropriate law, if any.

5. With these observations, this petition is disposed of.

04.09.2025
puneet

(RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL)
JUDGE

i)	Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
ii)	Whether reportable?	Yes/No