



123

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-9669-2025

Date of Decision: 05.09.2025

Ajay Bharat Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE AARADHNA SAWHNEY

Present: Mr. Manpreet Kanda, Advocate and
Ms. Pridhi Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kamalpreet Bawa, DAG, Punjab.

AARADHNA SAWHNEY, J. (ORAL)

1. Apprehending threat from respondent No.4, the present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been preferred by the petitioner, vide which he has prayed to issue directions to official respondents to protect his life and liberty.

2. It is, *inter alia*, contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has been consistently receiving threats from respondent No.4. In this context, he (P) had intimated the local police authorities and had requested them to initiate appropriate action, but till date nothing has been done. It is in this backdrop that the present petition has been filed by the petitioner.

3. As of now, learned counsel limits his prayer to direct the official respondents to decide his representation dated 16.06.2025 (Annexure P-1) in accordance with law in an expeditious manner.

4. Notice of motion to official respondents only.

5. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Kamalpreet Bawa, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3-State.

6. After considering the respective submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner and the averments made in the petition, without commenting on the merits thereof, the present petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2-Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar to consider and dispose of the representation dated 16.06.2025 (Annexure P-1) within a period of two weeks in accordance with law in an expeditious manner. The decision so taken be intimated to the petitioner as well.

05.09.2025
Parveen kumar

(AARADHNA SAWHNEY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable :Yes/No