



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-26179-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 19.11.2025

Shree Raghunath Hospital Society (Regd.)

....Petitioner

Versus

State Information Commission, Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Vikas Bali, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. T.P.S. Walia, AAG, Punjab.

Ms. Amandeep Kaur, Advocate,
for respondent No.3.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1) The petitioner, by way of instant writ petition filed under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India, prays for setting aside the order dated 01.07.2025 (Annexure P-12), passed by respondent No.1, and also the proceedings arising out of Appeal No.8154 of 2023.

2) This Court, on 04.09.2025, had heard the submissions advanced on behalf of the petitioner and issued the notice to the respondents. The relevant part of the order (*ibid*), reads as under:-

“In assailing the impugned order dated 01.07.2025, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the finding recorded therein, to the effect that the petitioner was ready to provide the sought information to respondent No.3, is completely perverse. He further submits that the petitioner was contesting the appeal filed by respondent No.3 and had also filed a reply. In fact, on the day the impugned order was passed, the petitioner



was not even present to furnish his consent for providing the information sought.”

- 3) At the outset, learned counsel for respondent No.3, submits that the petitioner was very much present at the time of passing of the impugned order. However, she fairly submits that still respondent No.3 is not averse if the Commission concerned is directed to decide the matter afresh, which is already pending before it.
- 4) In view of the abovesaid stand set out on behalf of respondent No.3, this Court is not required to delve any further into the merits of the case. Accordingly, the impugned order 01.07.2025 (Annexure P-12), is hereby **set aside**. Resultantly, the matter is **remitted** to the Commission concerned, to decide afresh, taking into the consideration the reply furnished by the petitioner, within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of a certified copy of this order. Needless to assert that, before drawing a final order, the parties shall be afforded a due opportunity of hearing.
- 5) **Disposed of**, accordingly.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

19.11.2025
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No