



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-26133-2025

Date of decision: 04.09.2025

Mandeep Kaur and another

....Petitioners

Versus

Punjab and Haryana High Court, Chandigarh and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. P.P.S. Tung, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. Through the instant writ petition, as cast under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, a prayer is made for issuance of a Mandamus upon respondent No.1, to consider and decide the representation of the petitioners.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that, though the representation dated 28.08.2025 (Annexure P-1), was received by the office of respondent No.1 on 29.08.2025, but till date, no decision thereon has been taken. Thus, it is urged that respondent No.1 be directed to decide the representation (supra), in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion to respondent No.1 only.

4. Mr. Varun Issar, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of the said respondent, and waives service. At the outset, he fairly submits that he has no objection, in case, a Mandamus is passed upon the authorities concerned, to decide the representation (supra).



5. In view of the above, and since a *bona fide* and innocuous prayer has been made by learned counsel for the petitioners, the instant writ petition is **disposed of**, by issuing a Mandamus upon respondent No.1, to consider and decide the representation (supra), as expeditiously as possible.

6. Needless to assert that before drawing a final order, a due opportunity of hearing, if necessary, shall be afforded to all the parties concerned.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

04.09.2025
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No