



289 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-54912-2023
Date of decision: 17.02.2025

RITU RANI AND OTHERS

...PETITIONERS

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. S.S. Mor, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Amit Malik, Advocate for

Mr. Rahul Chauhan, Advocate for respondent No.2.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.464 dated 05.05.2022, registered for offences punishable under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of IPC, 1860 at Police Station Shahabad, District Kurukshetra (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 30.10.2023 (Annexure P-2).

2. The following order was passed on 03.11.2023 :-

“The present petition has been moved invoking jurisdiction of this Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C. The petitioners are seeking quashing of FIR No.464 dated 05.05.2023, registered for offences punishable under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of IPC, 1860 at Police Station Shahabad, District Kurukshetra and all subsequent proceedings arising thereto on the basis of compromise.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the matter already stands compromised vide compromise deed/affidavit dated 30.10.2023 (Annexures P-2 and P-3).

Notice of motion for 28.02.2024.



Mr. Gaurav Bansal, DAG, Haryana, who is present in Court accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State.

Mr. Rahul Chauhan, Advocate appears on behalf of respondent No.2 and admits the fact of there being compromise between the parties.

*In view of the above, the parties are directed to appear before learned Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court on **05.12.2023**.*

On their doing so, the learned Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court shall record their statements and furnish its report to this Court by the next date of hearing on the following aspects:-

- 1. Number of persons arrayed as accused in the FIR.*
- 2. Whether any accused is proclaimed offender?*
- 3. Whether the compromise is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence?*
- 4. Whether the accused persons are involved in any other case or not?*
- 5. The trial Court is also directed to record the statement of the Investigating Officer as to how many victims/complainants are there in the FIR.*

A copy of the report be also sent to the Registrar Judicial of this Court.

Needless to say that in case for any reason the statements are not recorded on the aforesaid date, the learned Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court shall be at liberty to call the parties on any other date but not later than a week thereafter.”

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 46***, and ***Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63*** and Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (CrL) 1052***, this petition



is allowed and FIR No.464 dated 05.05.2022, registered for offences punishable under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of IPC, 1860 at Police Station Shahabad, District Kurukshetra (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioners.

February 17, 2025
manisha

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|------|---------------------------|--------|
| (i) | Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| (ii) | Whether reportable | Yes/No |