



**In the High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana
at Chandigarh**

221

CRM-M-49505-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision:- 23.09.2025

Anil

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present:- Mr. Gaurav Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Veer Singh, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (Oral)

1. By way of the present petition, the petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.329 dated 23.05.2024 registered under Sections 379A and 34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Suraj Kund, District Faridabad.

2. The FIR in question was lodged at the instance of Monu. It is alleged that on 22.05.2024 at about 8:30 p.m., the petitioner along with co-accused beaten the complainant and snatched his vehicle 'Swift dzire' car bearing registration No.HR-60M-5283 and fled away. On the basis of complaint, the present FIR was registered.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that the petitioner is in custody since the last more than 1 year and 2 months. Learned counsel further submitted that the material witnesses have already been examined in this case and the trial will take sufficient time to conclude. Co-accused namely Bharat @ Bhalu is released on bail, whose case is on almost similar footings of the present petitioner. He deserves to be granted bail.

4. Notice of motion.



CRM-M-49505-2025 (O&M) (2)

5. Mr. Karan Veer Singh, Sr. DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and produced the custody certificate of the petitioner, which is taken on record. Learned State counsel has opposed the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner and has submitted that one more case of similar nature is also pending against the present petitioner.

6. Heard.

7. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 14.06.2024, i.e. date of his arrest and the material witnesses have already been examined in this case, there is no apprehension from the prosecution that after release, he will tamper with prosecution evidence as only official witness is yet to be examined and the petitioner has a fixed abode and is resident of District Panipat, no apprehension that he will fly away from the process of justice; trial will take sufficient time to conclude and no fruitful purpose would be served by keeping him in custody for any further period, as concession of bail cannot be denied just as measure of punishment and it is trite principle of criminal jurisprudence that bail is rule, jail is an exception, this Court deems it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

8. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

23.09.2025
Geeta

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking /reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes / No