



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

211

CRM-M-49273-2025 (O&M)**Decided on : 30.10.2025**

Harjeet Singh @ Harjit Singh @ Buta Singh

. . .Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

. . .Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

PRESENT: Ms. Jyoti Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. R.S. Waraich (Rana), Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 BNSS is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.0116 dated 14.08.2025, registered under Sections 108, 3(5) and 351(2) of BNS, registered at Police Station Dhanaula, District Barnala.

2. On 04.09.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel submits that the petitioner alleges false implication in this case and no suicide note was recovered. It is on the statement of wife of the deceased that his vehicle was sold by the brother of the petitioner to one Ambi Ram, on which there was a loan and the instalments were not paid and he further sold the same to one Jassa. The allegations levelled do not fall within the definition of abetment as defined under Section 107 of Indian Penal Code, so as to constitute the offence under Section 306 IPC. The petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.



Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. M.S. Bajwa, DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 12.09.2025. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482 (2) BNSS.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 30.10.2025.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the aforementioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from Investigating Officer affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 04.09.2025 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section **438(2) Cr.P.C. (now 482(2) of BNSS, 2023)**

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and



cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

October 30, 2025
Vishal Vardhan

Whether speaking/reasoned: *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable: *Yes/No*