



CRM-M-48996-2025

-1-

**(234) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-48996-2025
Date of Decision: 03.11.2025**

NAVEEN @ MANGLI

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Vivek Suri, Advocate with
Mr. Dushyant Godara, Advocate
Ms. Kritika Sharma, Advocate and
Ms. Dhriti Dhall, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. T.P. Singh, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.

The prayer in this 3rd petition under Section 483 BNSS is for the grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No.189 dated 13.06.2020 registered under Sections 302, 307, 34 IPC and Sections 25, 54, 59 of Arms Act at Police Station IMT Rohtak.

2. As per the allegations Naveen alias Mangli (petitioner) and Vicky (since died) are the main accused having caused the death of Satpal.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that though the petitioner is one of the main accused, he has been in custody since July, 2020. The material witnesses namely Yoganand and Jyoti stand examined. Only 07 of the 26 prosecution witnesses have been examined so far.

**CRM-M-48996-2025****-2-**

Therefore, the trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon and hence, he be granted the concession of bail.

4. A reply dated 29.10.2025 by way of an affidavit of Rakesh Kumar, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sampla, District Rohtak has been filed on behalf of the State by the learned counsel for the State. The same is taken on record. While referring to the reply, he contends that the petitioner is one of the main accused having caused injuries on the deceased. The nature of the allegations levelled against the petitioner and his criminal antecedents with three other cases having been registered against him do not entitle him to the concession of bail. He, however, concedes that only 07 of the 26 prosecution witnesses have been examined so far including the main two main witnesses and that the petitioner is in custody since 01.07.2020.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

6. As per the case of the prosecution, the petitioner is one of the main accused. However, it is equally true that he has been in custody since the last more than 05 years. As many as 19 prosecution witnesses remain to be examined. Therefore, the trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon. In this situation, the further incarceration of the petitioner is not required.

7. Thus without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner-Naveen @ Mangli S/o Jagbir Singh is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned CJM/Duty Magistrate, concerned.



CRM-M-48996-2025

-3-

8. The petitioner shall appear before the police station concerned on the first Monday of every month till the conclusion of the trial and inform in writing each time that he is not involved in any other crime other than the cases mentioned in this order.
9. If the petitioner or his family members/associates make any attempt to contact/threaten/intimidate the witnesses in the present case, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of bail granted vide this order.
10. In addition, the petitioner (or anyone on his behalf) shall prepare an FDR in the sum of Rs.50,000/- and deposit the same with the Trial Court. The same would be liable to be forfeited as per law in case of the absence of the petitioner from trial without sufficient cause.
11. The petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

03.11.2025

JITESH

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No