



**246 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-62027-2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 25.02.2025

HARBANS LAL THROUGH HIS L.R.

...Petitioner

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Atul Lakhanpal, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Carel and Ms. Shikha Charak, Advocates for the petitioner.

Mr. S.K. Panwar, Addl. AG Haryana.

Mr. Prateek Gupta, Advocate
for respondent No. 2-CBI.

Mr. Sudhanshu Makkar, Advocate
for respondent No. 3.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. seeking transfer of investigation in case bearing FIR No. 182 dated 18.04.2016 registered under Sections 408, 420, 468, 471, 120-B of Indian Penal Code at Police Station City Fatehbad from the State police of State of Haryana to Central Bureau of Investigation.

2. Learned Senior Advocate appearing for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that from the date of registration of FIR(supra), the jurisdictional Police authorities have conducted a biased investigation as the accused named by the petitioner are highly influential persons and for the reasons best known to the police authorities, the investigation was transferred from one district to another. Earlier on four occasions, the report submitted by the jurisdictional police authorities was not accepted by the jurisdictional Court and they have been directed to further investigate the matter. Now the jurisdictional police authorities without collecting the relevant material

including original record, have presented a final report merely as an eyewash by nominating one employee of the society, whereas the complicity of the other accused, who are responsible for the day to day affairs, have not been examined.

3. Per contra, learned State counsel submits that the Investigating Agency has conducted the investigation in a fair and transparent manner and concluded the same and final report has been filed before the Court concerned and the same is pending consideration on 04.03.2025.

4. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and after perusing the record with their able assistance, without commenting further on the merits of the case, learned trial Court is directed to examine the final report along with the objections filed by the petitioner with regard to pointing out the necessity of further investigation, if any, or the relevant material, which is required to be collected and decide the matter in terms of the judgment passed by this Court in CRM-M-3193-2025 titled as ***Pawan Kharbanda Vs. State of Punjab and Another*** within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

5. Present petition is disposed of in aforesaid terms.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

25.02.2025
Ajay Goswami

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No