



CWP-28359-2024 and other connected cases :1:

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

118

**CWP-28359-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision : 31.01.2025**

GURPREET SINGH AND ORS

..... Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

..... Respondents

217

CWP-21212-2020 (O&M)

VIDHU PARKASH AND OTHERS

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

217-II

CWP-21221-2024 (O&M)

RAVINDER SINGH AND OTHERS

..... Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present :- Mr. R. K. Malik, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Kartikey Chaudhary, Advocate
for the petitioners in CWP-21221-2024.

Mr. Munish Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioners in CWP-28359-2024 and
CWP-21212-2020.

Mr. Solomon Partap Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Ms. Supriya Garg, Advocate



CWP-28359-2024 and other connected cases :2:

for respondents No.3 and 4 in CWP-21221-2024.

Ms. Divya Sharma, Advocate (Senior Panel Counsel)
for High Court in CWP-28359-2024 and CWP-21212-2020.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. In the present bunch of petitions, the challenge of the petitioners is that they are working as graduate clerks to whom, the recommendations of the Shetty Pay Commission were applied and their pay scale was revised w.e.f. 01.04.2003 and in the subsequent revisions w.e.f. 01.01.2006, their pay scales were higher as compared to the normal clerks working in the state of Punjab but from 2011 onwards, pay scale of graduate clerks were at par with the normal clerks which is contrary to the recommendation of the Shetty Pay Commission and therefore, the petitioners were entitled for the benefit of a higher pay scale as compared to the normal clerks working in the Punjab starting from 2011 and they were entitled for the revision corresponding to the pay scale which they were drawing under the recommendation of Shetty Pay Commission instead of bracketing them with normal clerks working in the State of Punjab for the grant of the pay scale.

2. Learned Senior counsel submits that during the pendency of the present petitions, direction was given to the State to reexamine the issue and pass appropriate speaking order and now a speaking order dated 31.10.2024 has been passed wherein, w.e.f. 01.01.2016, the benefit being claimed by the petitioners of a higher pay scale than the one given to the normal clerks has been granted but their grouse still remains qua the period from 2011 to 2016

hence, the present petitions may kindly be disposed of having been not

**CWP-28359-2024 and other connected cases :3:**

pressed any further with liberty to challenge the order dated 31.10.2024 qua the non-grant of the benefit for the period 2011 to 2016 by availing appropriate remedy.

3. Ordered accordingly.
4. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of accordingly.
5. A photocopy of this order be placed on the connected case files numbered above.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

31.01.2025

Rimpal

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No