

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

113

CWP-28228-2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision : September 08, 2025

MD. RASHID RAZA KHAN

-PETITIONER

V/S

**UT ADMINISTRATION CHANDIGARH THROUGH EDUCATION
SECRETARY AND ANR.**

-RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Sunil Chadha, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Arashdeep Kaur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajay Jagga, Advocate and
Ms. Sonia Mittal, Advocate and
Mr. Rohit Kaushik, Advocate
for the respondents No.1 and 2.

Mr. Indresh Goel, Advocate
for the respondent No.3.

Mr. B.S. Bajwa, Advocate
for the respondent No.4.

Mr. Varun Katyal, Advocate
for the respondent No.5.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner before this Court is a student, who has approached this Court against the order dated 30.11.2022, whereby the respondent No.2 has issued a first and final warning to him as well as the respondent No.5, and also imposed a fine of ₹ 5,000/-.

2. This Court, while issuing notice of motion upon the instant writ petition on 08.12.2022, stayed the operation of the impugned order to the extent it pertains to the deposit of the fine.

3. Learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits that, during the pendency of the instant writ petition, the petitioner successfully completed his Bachelor of Engineering in Mechanical Engineering for the Academic Session 2021–2025 and has since secured admission to the Indian Institute of Technology (I.I.T.), Kharagpur for higher studies. It is further submitted that subsequent to the passing of the impugned order, the petitioner has maintained exemplary conduct, and no complaint of any nature has been reported against him. Therefore, the impugned order, particularly the warning contained therein, may be set aside, as it is likely to adversely affect the petitioner's future academic and professional prospects.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the respondent No.2 does not dispute the factual submissions made by learned senior counsel for the petitioner.

5. This Court has considered the facts and circumstances of the case. Without entering into the legality of the impugned order, and bearing in mind the supervening events, namely, the successful completion of the petitioner's Bachelor's degree in Mechanical Engineering with unblemished conduct, and his admission to the Indian Institute of Technology (I.I.T.), Kharagpur for higher education, this Court is of the view that the impugned order warrants interference. Consequently, the impugned order is hereby set aside and it shall not operate to the detriment of the petitioner in any manner, including with respect to his future academic or professional pursuits.

6. Insofar as the respondent No.5 is concerned, who, though similarly warned vide the impugned order, has not challenged the same, this

Court, considering his welfare, directs that the warning issued to respondent No.5 shall also be treated as withdrawn and shall not operate to his prejudice in future.

- 7. Disposed of accordingly.
- 8. Pending application(s) also stand disposed of accordingly.

September 08, 2025
devinder

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No