



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-PIL-237-2025
Date of decision: 23.09.2025**

Mandeep Singh

....Petitioner.

Versus

Union of India and others

....Respondents.

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY, JUDGE**

**Present:- Ms. Neha Matharoo, Advocate,
for the petitioner.**

Mr. Sushant Kareer, Senior Panel Counsel for UOI.

.....

SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral)

Petitioner claims himself to be a public spirited person raises the grievance that repeated adjournments are being granted by the District Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, Chandigarh.

2. It is submitted that certain timelines have been prescribed for completion of entire complaint filed before the District Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission, Chandigarh, which are not being adhered to.

3. When inquired from the learned counsel for the petitioner as to the extent of pendency in the Commission in question, learned counsel was unaware of the said position.

4. This kind of grievance is normally raised by the person aggrieved who is either a complainant or his representative before the Commission.



5. However, it seems that the present petition has been filed without any thorough research and without providing any material relevant facts for enabling this Court to adjudicate the issue which is claimed to be in public interest.

6. In view of above, this Court declines to entertain this petition with liberty to file a properly constituted petition.

7. With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands dismissed.

(SHEEL NAGU)
CHIEF JUSTICE

23.09.2025

preeti

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

i)	<i>Whether speaking/reasoned?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
ii)	<i>Whether reportable?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>