

CRM-M-48945-2025

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

220

CRM-M-48945-2025
Decided on: 26.11.2025

Akashdeep Singh alias Sarhand

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Ajay Singh Pundir, Advocate for
Mr. Gursimran Singh Bhatia, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Bareen Pratap Singh, AAG Punjab

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of FIR No.127 dated 21.06.2023, under Sections 336, 148, 149 IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act at PS Gate Hakima, District Amritsar.

2. On 03.09.2025, following order was passed:

“(i) Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:

<i>Name of Petitioner(s)</i>	<i>FIR No.</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Section(s)</i>	<i>Police Station</i>	<i>District</i>
<i>Akashdeep Singh @ Sarhand</i>	<i>127</i>	<i>21.06.2023</i>	<i>336,148, 149 IPC and 25 of Arms Act</i>	<i>Gate Hakima</i>	<i>Amritsar</i>

(ii) Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the prime allegation



culled out in the present anticipatory bail petition, which reads as under:

“ That the perusal of the FIR shows as per the allegations levelled in the present case, the petitioner was shown to be allegedly armed with a brick and the role attributed to the petitioner is that he has allegedly thrown the bricks in the house of the complainant, apart from this there is no allegation against the petitioner. The complainant further alleges that the co-accused of the petitioner has opened fire at the wall of his house and the gunshot has hit the wall of he house. It is pertinent to mention here that in the alleged occurrence there is no injured person, the petitioner has not been attributed of having caused any injury to any person only role attributed it to the petitioner is having throwing the bricks in the house of the complainant”

Thus, learned counsel submits that petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation, provided he is granted protection from arrest, and accordingly prays for the grant of anticipatory bail.

(iii) Notice of motion.

On advance notice, Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr.DAG, Punjab puts in appearance on behalf of the respondent – State.

(iv) Adjourned to 26.11.2025.

(v) In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when required to do so by the Investigating Agency. In the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail, subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall also be abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).

(vi) Besides, it is directed that petitioner would hand over his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses. Otherwise, would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.

It is also directed that before leaving country any time during trial, petitioner would seek prior permission of the Court ”

3. Continuing his submissions, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance to the order dated 03.09.2025 passed by this Court, petitioner has joined the investigation on 08.11.2025.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions, confirms the said averment made by counsel for the petitioner of joining the investigation on 08.11.2025 by



CRM-M-48945-2025

3

the petitioner, and submits that as of now, custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

6. Since, petitioner has already joined the investigation and custodial interrogation is no more required; ad-interim bail order dated 03.09.2025 passed by this Court is hereby made absolute. Accordingly, present petition is allowed.

7. The petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

8. However, present order would be subject to the submission of his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses, within a period of one week from today. Otherwise, he/she would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any part.

9. It is clarified that in case, aforesaid condition is not complied with, this order would be considered as *non est* automatically.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

26.11.2025

reena

Whether Speaking/Reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

YES/NO
~~YES~~/NO