



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

228

CWP-33629-2019

Date of decision: 03.03.2025

Poonam Jain

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Kashish Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer made in the present petition is for directing the respondents to release the family pension in favour of widow daughter-petitioner w.e.f. 21.07.2017 as she during lifetime of her deceased father became dependent upon him.

2. Learned counsel submits that the claim of the petitioner though has been accepted, during the pendency of the present case, albeit w.e.f. 20.10.2020, though it ought to have been from 10.06.2019 in terms of the instructions relied upon in para 3 of the written statement.

3. The aforesaid facts having been brought out, during the course of hearing, learned State counsel, on instructions, states that the matter shall be considered and decided, within a period of 2 months, which this Court has no reason to believe the authorities would not address in a just, fair and reasonable manner.

4. The aforesaid satisfies the learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. The matter stands disposed of accordingly and if the petitioner is found entitled, grant the benefit forthwith. Needless to say, if the orders are



adverse to her interest, the same may be passed after granting opportunity of hearing to her and shall contain reasons, whereupon she shall be free to seek legal redress thereupon.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

03.03.2025

Hemant

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No