



**105 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-25778-2025

Date of Decision: 01.09.2025

NIDHISH CHUGH

...PETITIONER

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHIT KAPOOR**

Present:- Mr. Jagdeep S. Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Puneet Gupta, Addl. AG, Haryana.

Mr. Nilesh Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the respondent(s)-University.

ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA, J. (ORAL)

1. Seeking directions to the respondents to accept the fees submitted by the petitioner in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Notification dated 10.07.2025 of the Medical Education and Research Department, Haryana, the instant writ petition has been filed.

2. The petitioner applied for admission in the Non-Resident Indian (NRI) Quota and was allotted a seat in the Master of Dental Surgery (NEET MDS) Course. The pre-condition for such admission was that the provisional tuition fee has to be paid only through the NRE/NRI/NRO/Foreign Bank Account of the Candidate/Parent/Sponser. Clause 5 of the instructions dated 10.07.2025 in that regard reads as under:-

“5. Provisional tuition fee has to be paid only through the NRE/NRI/NRO/Foreign bank account of Candidate/Parent/Sponsorer (as the case may be) through admission Web Portal only. Amount can also be paid in equivalent INR.”

3. Admittedly, the petitioner has deposited fee in Indian Rupee but such an amount is not shown to have been routed through an NRI foreign bank account. It is for this reason that the admission of petitioner has not been treated valid and the seat has now been released for the stray round counselling.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner had the requisite amount in an NRI account, but due to inadvertence, the fee was deposited from an Indian account. He submits that due to this inadvertent error, the petitioner has been disqualified from appearing in further rounds of counselling.

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent(s)-University states that the petitioner cannot be granted any relief now since he has failed to comply with the instructions for admission under NRI Quota.

6. Considering the facts of the instant case, we are of the view that once the petitioner has failed to comply with the specific instructions contained in Clause 5 of the instructions dated 10.07.2025 and the deposit of fee has not routed through a foreign bank account, the authorities cannot be said to have acted illegally or arbitrarily in denying consideration to petitioner's claim.

7. However, as this is stated to be an inadvertent error, we only provide that the petitioner shall be at liberty to apply afresh in the stray round counselling if there are seats available in the NRI Quota.

8. In that view of the matter, the instant writ petition stands dismissed.

**[ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA]
JUDGE**

**[ROHIT KAPOOR]
JUDGE**

SEPTEMBER 01st, 2025
Rahul Joshi

- | | | |
|----|---------------------------|--------|
| 1. | Whether Speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether Reportable | Yes/No |