



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

Date of Decision:-09.09.2025

CRM-M-48770-2025

Gurbhej Singh @ Bheja.

.....Petitioner.

Vs.

State of Punjab.

.....Respondent.

2.

CRM-M-49220-2025

Parshotam Singh.

.....Petitioner.

Vs.

State of Punjab.

.....Respondent.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present:- Mr. Imaan Singh Khara, Advocate for the Petitioner
(in both the petitions).

Mr. Deepender Singh, Addl. AG Punjab.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.(ORAL)

By this common order I shall dispose of both the
aforementioned petitions as the same have arisen out of one and the same
FIR.

2. The prayer in these petitions under Section 483 of Bhartiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 is for the grant of regular bail to the
petitioner in case FIR No.26 dated 18.03.2023 under Sections 279, 186, 506,



336 and 427 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act Act, 1959, later on the challan was presented under Sections 279, 353, 186, 506, 336 and 427 IPC and Sections 25(2), 27 & 29 of Arms Act registered at Police Station Khilchian, District Amritsar Rural, Punjab.

3. The brief facts of the case are that on 18.03.2023, police party laid a special picket at T-point of Bhinder Rattangarh and at 9:30 a.m. a vehicle i.e. Mercedes bearing no.HR-72-E1818 came from the side of village Jallupur Khera in which on the conductor seat there was Bhai Amritpal Singh and said vehicle was being driven by Harjit Singh. The second vehicle bearing no.PB-10-FF-0089 marka Endeavour was being driven by Harinder Singh and on the conductor seat there was Ajaypal Singh and on the back seat there was Baljinder Singh. Along with that, there also came two other vehicles i.e. Endeavour and Creta in which Savreet Singh, Gural Singh @ Pala and Amandeep Singh @ Jyoti. There were other vehicles in the rally in which persons were present. All the above vehicles were signalled to stop by the police but drivers of said vehicles increased the speed of the vehicles and drove the same in a negligent manner, struck the vehicles with the barricades and broke the same and also fired deadly weapons in the air. The occupants of above vehicles obstructed the police in performing their official duty and thereafter all the accused persons ran away towards Khaddor Sahib in their vehicles. During the course of investigation multiple persons came to be nominated as accused.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the co-accused of the petitioner, namely, Manpreet Singh @ Bagga and Gurpreet Singh and Ranjit Singh @ Ranjit Singh Sidhu have been granted the concession of bail vide order dated 18.02.2025, 31.07.2025 & 27.08.2025 (Annexure P-3 & P-4 respectively). As the petitioners are in custody since



19.04.2023, but only 04 of the 63 Pws have been examined so far, the Trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon and therefore, they are entitled to the concession of bail.

5. The learned State counsel on the other hand has filed Status report dated 08.09.2025 by way of affiavit of Mr. Arun Sharma, PPS, DSP, Sub Division Baba Bakala Sahib, District Amritsar (Rural) in CRM-M-48770-2025. While referring to the same, he contends that the petitioners are accused in other cases as well. As regards petitioner-Gurbhej Singh @ Bheja, the present petition is the second one seeking the grant of bail, the first one having been dismissed on merits by this Court vide order dated 17.05.2024. The nature of the allegations and the criminal antecedents of the petitioners do not entitle them to the concession of bail. He however, concedes that the petitioners are in custody since 19.04.2023, that only 04 of the 63 PWs have been examined so far and that 03 of their co-accused have been granted the concession of bail.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

7. The veracity of the prosecution case against the petitioners and their co-accused shall be adjudicated upon during the course of the trial. Admittedly, the petitioners are in custody since 19.04.2023 and 03 of their co-accused have been granted the concession of bail. As only 04 of the 63 Pws have been examined so far, the Trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon. The first bail application of the Gurbhej Singh @ Bheja (petitioner) was dismissed on 17.05.2024. More than one year has elapsed ever since then. Therefore, their further incarceration is not required.

8. Thus without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petitions are allowed and the petitioners-**Gurbhej Singh @ Bheja** son of



Sh. Balvir Singh and **Parshotam Singh** son of Sh. Daljit Singh are ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned CJM/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

9. The petitioners shall appear before the police station concerned on the first Monday of every month till the conclusion of the trial and inform in writing each time that they are not involved in any other crime other than the cases mentioned in this order.

10. If the petitioners or any of their family members/associates make any attempt to contact/threaten/intimidate the witnesses in the present case, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of their bail granted vide this Court.

11. In addition, the petitioners (or anyone on their behalf) shall prepare an FDR in the sum of Rs.50,000/- each and deposit the same with the Trial Court. The same would be liable to be forfeited as per law in case of the absence of the petitioners from trial without sufficient cause.

12. The petition stands disposed of.

(**JASJIT SINGH BEDI**)
JUDGE

September 09, 2025

Vinay

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>