



127

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-26019-2025

Date of decision: 03.09.2025

Rajan Gupta and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR**Present:** Mr. Prateek Pandit, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vikas Arora, DAG, Punjab.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. The present civil writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *certiorari* for quashing clause 2 of appointment letters dated 28.03.2023 (Annexures P-10 & P-11) to the extent that the petitioners were held entitled to only fixed pay/emoluments during the period of their probation period on the post of Clerk and further to release all consequential benefits including arrears of pay along with interest @ 9% per annum.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners would be satisfied at this stage in case the writ petition of the petitioners be treated as a comprehensive representation and same be decided in a time bound manner in terms of the judgments passed by this Court in CWP No.17064 of 2017 titled as 'Ajay Kumar Singla and others Vs. State of Punjab and others' decided on 16.02.2023 (Annexure P-15) and in CWP No.15896 of 2023 titled as 'Saurabh Sharma and others Vs. State of Punjab and another' decided on



CWP-26019-2025

-2-

13.09.2024 (Annexure P-18) as the case of the petitioners is squarely covered by the aforementioned decisions.

3. Learned State counsel, appearing on advanced notice, submits that he has no objection if the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is allowed.

4. Accordingly, the present petition is disposed of. The respondents are directed to treat the writ petition of the petitioners as a comprehensive representation and shall decide the same within a period of 03 months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order by passing a speaking order, after affording them an opportunity to be heard. Further, the decision taken thereof shall be conveyed to the petitioners. Needless to say, if the petitioners are found entitled to the relief sought, the same shall be granted forthwith by the respondents.

5. However, the issue of seniority of the petitioners be considered from the date, his batch mates were appointed as the appointment of the petitioners was delayed due to procedural delays and it is an admitted fact that the petitioners were in the select list.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

03.09.2025*Neha*

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No