



CRM-M-48730-2025 (O&M) -1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

289

**CRM-M-48730-2025 (O&M)
Decided on: 18.11.2025**

GURINDER SINGH AND OTHERS

.....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present: Mr. Raghav Sood, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Surinderjit S. Nahar, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Manish Kansra, Advocate for respondents No.2 & 3.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.24 dated 14.04.2021 registered under Sections 307, 506, 148, 149, 336 of IPC (Section 34 IPC added later on and Sections 307, 148, 149 IPC deleted later on) and (Sections 25, 27 & 29 of Arms Act added later on and 25 of Arms Act deleted later on) at Police Station Khem Karan, District Tarn Taran and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 18.08.2025 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

2. The above stated FIR was registered on the statement of the complainant/respondent No.2-Harinder Singh.

3. On notice of motion, respondents No.2 & 3 appeared in the Court through their counsel and pleaded that they have no objection if the



CRM-M-48730-2025 (O&M) -2-

FIR in this case is quashed on the basis of the aforesaid compromise, which has been effected between the parties.

4. During the course of preliminary hearing, the trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate was directed to record the statements of the all the concerned parties with regard to genuineness and validity or otherwise of the aforesaid compromise.

5. In compliance thereof, report from the Court of learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Patti along with statements of the parties has been received, in which, it is mentioned that the compromise is genuine and there was no undue influence or coercion from any side.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) and for respondents No.2 & 3 are *ad idem* that in view of the settlement effected between the parties, the present petition deserves to be accepted. It has also come on record that the aforesaid compromise is genuine and the parties effected the same without any undue influence or coercion.

7. In view of above, nothing remains to be adjudicated further in the present case. Thus, continuation of the criminal proceedings between the parties would be a futile exercise and sheer wastage of time of the Court and thus, amounts to abuse of process of law.

8. For the reasons afore-stated and having regard to the law laid down by Hon'ble Apex Court in ***Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543*** and Five Judges Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, this petition is allowed and FIR No.24

dated 14.04.2021 registered under Sections 307, 506, 148, 149, 336 of



CRM-M-48730-2025 (O&M) -3-

IPC (Section 34 IPC added later on and Sections 307, 148, 149 IPC deleted later on) and (Sections 25, 27 & 29 of Arms Act added later on and Section 25 of Arms Act deleted later on) at Police Station Khem Karan, District Tarn Taran and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed qua the petitioners, subject to payment of Rs.20,000/- as cost (apportioned in equal share), to be deposited with Punjab State Legal Services Authority-Disaster Relief Fund, Account No.44426937384, IFSC Code- SBIN0014656, State Bank of India, Sector-68, SAS Nagar (Punjab).

9. Receipt regarding deposit of aforesaid cost be produced before the Court concerned. It is made clear that if cost is not deposited within a period of one month i.e. upto 18.12.2025, present petition shall be deemed to be dismissed.

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

18.11.2025
Sonia Puri

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO
Whether Reportable: YES/NO