



- 1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

290

**CRM-M-48893-2025
Date of decision: 04.11.2025**

SANJEEV VERMA

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF UT CHANDIGARH AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present : Mr. Akinchan Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Druv Dayal, Additional PP, UT, Chandigarh.

Mr. Ranjan Lohan, Advocate and
Mr. Sahil Lohan, Advocate for respondent No.2.

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR. J.(Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 is for quashing of F.I.R. No.89 dated 14.04.2011, registered under Sections 323, 324, 326, 506 of IPC at Police Station Sector 36, Chandigarh and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise.
2. This Court vide order dated 03.09.2025 had directed the parties to appear before the Trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to get their statements recorded and the learned Magistrate was directed to send his/her report qua the genuineness of the compromise.
3. Pursuant to the aforesaid order, parties had appeared before learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Chandigarh and got their statements recorded. On the basis of the statements so recorded, learned Magistrate has submitted report dated 24.10.2025 to the effect that the compromise has been effected between the



parties voluntarily and without any coercion or undue influence.

4. Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for respondent No.2 have not disputed the factum of compromise between the parties.

5. In view of the above and following the principles laid down by this Court in 2013 (4) RCR (Criminal) 102 titled as ‘*Sube Singh and another versus State of Haryana and another*’ and the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Criminal Appeal No.1393 of 2011, LL 2021 SC 589 titled as ‘*Ramawtar Versus State of Madhya Pradesh*’ decided on 25.10.2021, this petition is allowed and judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 22.09.2016, passed by Id. Judicial Magistrate First Class, Chandigarh as well as the order dated 08.08.2017 passed by Additional District Judge, Chandigarh are set aside and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise qua petitioners, are hereby quashed.

04.11.2025
amandeep

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No