



CRM-M-48581-2025

127

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-48581-2025

Date of Decision : 27.10.2025

Ankit Singla and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Anand Chhibbar, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Shikhar Sarin, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG Haryana


Mr. Pankaj Gautam, Advocate for
Dr. Navin Kumar Gautam, Advocate
for respondent No. 2

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioners – (i) Ankit Singla, (ii) Madhu Bala, (iii) Kritika Singla and (iv) Sunit Kumar have filed the present petition under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, r/w 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of order dated 22.08.2025 (Annexure P-16), passed by Ld. Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jind, in CHI No.343 of 2023, titled as, “*State v. Sushil Kumar etc.*” wherein direction was issued to conduct further investigation in the case.

2. On 05.09.2025, the following order was passed by this Court :

1. Petitioners have filed the present petition under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, r/w 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of order dated 22.08.2025



CRM-M-48581-2025

(Annexure P-16), passed by Ld. Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jind, in CHI No.343 of 2023, titled as, “State v. Sushil Kumar etc.”. A further prayer for staying the operation of the impugned order 22.08.2025 (P-16), has also been made.

2. *Contention of the learned Senior counsel for the petitioners is that the direction issued for further investigation is without even noticing that there is not an iota of allegation against the other accused, who have neither been challaned by the Investigating Agency, nor they have any larger interest in the Company, which has already been headed by its Chairman and Director, who are already challaned accused.*

3. *Notice of motion.*

4. *On asking of the Court, Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice for respondent No.1 State and seeks time to get instructions and to file status report/reply and also to examine the contention raised by the petitioners’ counsel and also the law settled on this subject.*

Let a copy of the complete paper book be handed over to the learned State counsel, during the course of the day.

5. *Respondent No.2 be served through the Station House Officer (SHO) of the police station concerned, within whose jurisdiction he is residing, with due intimation regarding the next date fixed before this Court.*

6. *Adjourned to 27.10.2025.*

To be shown in the urgent list.”

3. Learned State counsel has filed status report alongwith documents i.e. Annexures R-1 to R-5, in the Court today and the same are taken on record, subject to all just exceptions. Office to tag the same at appropriate place. He submits that in compliance to the impugned order, further investigation was conducted and thereon it has been concluded that the petitioners are innocent.



CRM-M-48581-2025

4. In view of the categoric stand taken in para No. 12 of the status report, no cause of action survives in the present petition and is accordingly, disposed of.

October 27, 2025
reena

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO
Whether Reportable: YES/NO