



113 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-9483-2025
Date of Decision: 01.09.2025

Renuka and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE AARADHNA SAWHNEY

Present: Mr. Vijay Rana, Advocate and
Mr. Ajay Kumar Rana,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Kamalpreet Bawa, DAG, Punjab.

AARADHNA SAWHNEY, J. (ORAL)

1. Apprehending danger to their life and liberty, both the petitioners have filed the present petition with a prayer to direct the official respondents to protect their life and liberty from the hands of respondent Nos.4 to 8 as they are living in live-in relationship.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners are major, petitioner No.1 is stated to be born on 19.09.1991. Date of birth of petitioner No.2 is year of 1992. Copies of their Aadhar Cards have been annexed as Annexures P-1 and P-2. Learned counsel further submits that petitioner No.1 is a widow and petitioner No.2 had solemnised marriage with respondent No.4 and out of the said wedlock, three children were born, all of whom are living with their mother i.e. respondent No.4. On account of temperamental differences, the petitioner No.2 wants to file divorce petition against her wife i.e. respondent No.4 and decided to part ways.

It is further submitted that both the petitioners are known to each

other for a long period and have developed an emotional bond with each other and have started living together, i.e. they are in live-in relationship.

3. In so far as the present petition is concerned, learned counsel for the petitioners has limited his prayer to direct the official respondents to decide representation dated 28.08.2025 annexed as Annexure P-5 moved by petitioners.

4. Without commenting upon the merits of the case and in view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the official respondents to decide the representation dated 28.08.2025 (Annexure P-5) within a period of two weeks. In case, it is found that there is a genuine threat to the lives and liberty of the petitioners, then necessary steps warranted under law be taken at the earliest, so as to ensure that no harm is caused to the petitioners.

5. However, this direction will not validate the live-in status between the petitioners and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which would be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

6 Disposed of accordingly.

01.09.2025

Parveen kumar

(AARADHNA SAWHNEY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable :Yes/No