

2025:PHHC:126964-DB



CWP-27370-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 15.09.2025

Karamjit Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHIT KAPOOR**

Present: Ms. Arshpreet Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Ajay Pal Singh Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Maninder Singh, Addl. AG, Punjab.

ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner is aggrieved by the mining undertaken on his land by the private respondents without his permission.
2. It is submitted that the petitioner has not granted any permission for mining and merely because a civil dispute is pending with the private respondents, it would not be open for them to permit mining to be undertaken over the land, partition of which, has not yet taken place.
3. On oral request of counsel for the petitioner, the Superintending Engineer (Mining), Patiala is impleaded as respondent No.10 in the array of parties.
4. Learned State counsel states that the grievance of the petitioner can be examined by the newly impleaded respondent No.10.

5. In that view of the matter, we direct the petitioner to approach respondent No.10 by annexing all material in support of his claim together with a copy of this order. The respondent No.10 shall ensure that the grievance of the petitioner is examined in accordance with law after notice to the aggrieved persons and an appropriate order in this regard would be passed within a period of four weeks thereafter.

6. The writ petition is disposed of with the aforesaid directions.

7. All pending misc. application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

9. Needless to mention that this order shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of case of either party.

(ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA)
JUDGE

(ROHIT KAPOOR)
JUDGE

15.09.2025

rajesh

1. Whether speaking/reasoned?	:	Yes/No
2. Whether reportable?	:	Yes/No