



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

127

CRM-M-49055-2025

Date of Decision : 03.09.2025

MAJOR SINGH

...Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE AARADHNA SAWHNEY

Present: Ms. Deepali Jindal, Advocate
for the petitioner.
Mr. Gautam Thapar, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

AARADHNA SAWHNEY, J. (ORAL)

1. Petitioner, a co-accused in case FIR No. 162 dated 12.06.2023 registered against him for commission of offence punishable u/s 21(b) of NDPS Act, at Police Station Special Task Force, Mohali, has prayed for quashing of order dated 28.03.2025 (Annexure P-4) passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Bathinda, vide which bail of the petitioner was cancelled, bail bonds/surety bonds were forfeited to the State and his (petitioner) presence was sought to be procured through non-bailable warrants. In terms of order dated 07.07.2025 (Annexure P-5), since non-bailable warrants issued to procure presence of petitioner were received back unserved, fresh non-bailable warrants were issued for 06.09.2025 and notice to surety was also issued, for the said day.

2. Learned counsel submits that after the registration of the FIR, petitioner was granted the concession of bail by the Special Court, Bathinda on 01.08.2023. Thereafter, petitioner was continuously appearing before trial Court on each and every date. On 21.02.2025, petitioner moved an application seeking exemption from appearing in the trial Court. The said application was allowed and the case was adjourned for 28.03.2025. However, petitioner could not appear



before the trial Court on the said day, as there was bereavement in the relation of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that learned trial Court hastily proceeded to cancel the bail of the accused-petitioner, who did not intentionally remained absent. With this backdrop, learned counsel submits to take a lenient view in favour of the present petitioner, who undertakes to appear before the trial Court on the date fixed.

3. Heard.

Without going into the merits of the reason assigned by the petitioner for his absence from the trial Court on the date fixed, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the petitioner to appear before the Court concerned on or before 06.09.2025. On his appearance, if he moves an application for grant of bail, the same shall be decided expeditiously by the learned trial Court preferably within 2 days.

(AARADHNA SAWHNEY)
JUDGE

03.09.2025

Nisha Yadav

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No