



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

291

CWP-28106-2024

Date of Decision: 20.01.2025

Anand Singh and others

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

Union of India and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. D.R. Bansal, Advocate,
and Dr. Anjali Bansal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

None for Union of India.

Mr. Rajesh Hooda, Advocate,
for respondent Nos.2 and 3.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Advocate,
for respondent No.4.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners through instant petition filed under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India are seeking direction to respondent to restore his pension.
2. Mr. Hooda expressed his inability to controvert the fact that issue involved in the instant petition is no more *res integra* and is squarely covered by judgment dated 12.09.2024 of this Court passed in ***Inderjit Singh Kaknian and others vs. Union of India and others, 2024 SCC OnLine P&H 12076.***
3. Accordingly, the petition stands disposed of in terms of judgment of this Court in ***Inderjit Singh Kaknian (supra).***

20.01.2025

shivani

Whether reasoned/speaking

Whether reportable

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)

JUDGE

Yes

No