



206

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-48187-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 26.11.2025

Anas Khan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of U.T. Chandigarh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE AARADHNA SAWHNEY

Present: Mr. Rushil Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Viren Sibal, APP, UT, Chandigarh.

AARADHNA SAWHNEY, J. (ORAL)

1. By virtue of the present petition under Section 482 BNSS, petitioner, who is accused in case bearing FIR No.11 dated 14.11.2024 registered under Sections 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2) BNS, 2023 at Police Station Economic Offences Wing, Chandigarh, has prayed for grant of pre-arrest bail.

2. On 29.08.2025 following order was passed by this Court:-

“The present petition for grant of pre-arrest bail has been filed by petitioner-Anas Khan, an accused in FIR No.0011 dated 14.11.2024, registered against him for commission of offence punishable under Sections 318(4), 338, 336(3), 340(2) of BNS, 2023, at Police Station Economic Offences Wing, Chandigarh.

Learned counsel submits that petitioner an Advocate by profession, had been legally advising M/s Biship Immigration SCO No.77, Sector 40-C, Chandigarh. One Gurjant Singh, intended to go abroad. Petitioner had disclosed to him about the above firm. Even legal advise was tendered by him (petitioner), though Gurjant Singh received an appointment for Visa with the

German Embassy but on account of some reason he was asked to again consult VFS Global. After visiting the Delhi office of above said firm, he was asked to sign certain documents, at which point in time, he had a talk with the petitioner. Further as per learned counsel, some issue arose between the firm and Gurjant. Unnecessarily however, petitioner, who had no concern absolutely in the business and day today running of the firm, received a notice, when he came to know that he has been implicated in a complaint lodged by Gurjant Singh. During the inquiry proceedings, further as per learned counsel, Gurjant Singh complainant, admitted that he had never filed any complaint against the above firm (M/s Biship Immigration). Despite this, police authorities, did not cancel the FIR.

Learned counsel submits that petitioner who has been falsely implicated is willing to join the investigation as and when called for by the Investigating Officer.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Viren Sibal, APP for U.T. Chandigarh, accepts notice on behalf of Union Territory, Chandigarh and seeks time to file detailed status report. Learned State counsel submits that several innocent persons have been duped by M/s Biship Immigration. Petitioner is the real brother of the Director of the firm and plays an active role in day today working.

Adjourned to 09.09.2025.”

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from Inspector, Kirpal Singh, states that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not needed for any further investigation.

4. Keeping in view the fact that petitioner has joined the investigation, interim bail granted vide order dated 29.08.2025 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating

Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

- 5. The petition stands allowed.
- 6. Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

26.11.2025
Parveen kumar

(AARADHNA SAWHNEY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable :Yes/No