

CRM-42246-2025 in/&
CRM-M-48868-2025 (O&M)

1

106+220 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2025.PHHC.146032



CRM-42246-2025 in/&
CRM-M-48868-2025 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION : 27.10.2025

JASWANT SINGH ALIAS KUKKU ... PETITIONER
V/S
STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER ... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present: Mr. Nirmaljeet Singh Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl. A.G. Punjab.
Mr. Ajay Singh Pundir, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

* * *

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (ORAL)

CRM-42246-2025

This is an application for placing on record the statement of complainant/respondent No.2 dated 15.09.2025 regarding compromise and copy of order dated 15.09.2025 passed by learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Phul, District Bathinda.

The same are allowed to be taken on record as Annexures A-1 and A-2 , subject to all just exceptions.

Registry to tag the same at the appropriate place.

Main case

1. By way of the present petition, the petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.100 dated 10.06.2025 registered under Sections 109/118(1)/115(2) of BNS, 2023 (Section 117(2) of BNS added later on) at Police Station Dayalpura, District Bathinda, Punjab.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the alleged

injury attributed to the petitioner is on the non-vital part of the complainant/respondent No.2. Petitioner and complainant are son and father respectively and the injury caused to the complainant was not intentional. Learned counsel for the petitioner further contended that petitioner has already filed a petition bearing CRM-M-49024-2025 before this Court for quashing of FIR in question on the basis of compromise wherein statements of the parties have already been recorded and the Court has also pleased to quash the FIR bearing No.100 dated 10.06.2025 registered under Sections 109/118(1)/115(2) of BNS, 2023 (Section 117(2) of BNS added later on) at Police Station Dayalpura, District Bathinda vide order of the even date.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl. A.G. Punjab accepted notice on behalf of the respondent-State and Mr. Ajay Singh Pundir, Advocate, has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.2.

5. Learned State counsel vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of regular bail to the petitioner on the ground of gravity of allegations.

6. Learned counsel for the respondent No.2 has submitted that he has no objection if the petitioner is released on regular bail as a compromise has been effected between the parties and the complainant and petitioner are father and son respectively.

7. Heard.

8. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that a compromise has been effected between the petitioner and the complainant/respondent No.2 and the FIR in question has already been quashed vide order of even date passed in CRM-M-49024-2025, the instant

petition is disposed of with a direction to the trial Court/Area Magistrate to release the petitioner from custody forthwith provided he is not required in any other case.

27.10.2025
Janki

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No