

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

2025:PHHC:147053



(218)

CRM-M-48536-2025
Decided on : 28.10.2025

Babli Devi

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Ravinder Phogat, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Deepak Kumar Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

Sumeet Goel (Oral):

1. Apprehending her arrest in FIR No.318 dated 06.10.2023 registered for offences punishable under Sections 120-B, 420, 467, 468, 471 of IPC at Police Station Dadri City, District Charkhi Dadri; the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking pre-arrest bail.

2. On 22.09.2025, the following order was passed:

“Reply by way of affidavit of Shri Arsh Verma, IPS, Senior Superintendent of Police, Charkhi Dadri on behalf of the respondent has been filed in Court today. The same be kept on record. A copy of the same has been furnished to learned counsel for the petitioner.

Apprehending her arrest in FIR No.318 dated 06.10.2023 registered for offences punishable under Sections 120-B, 420, 467, 468, 471 of IPC at Police Station Dadri City, District

Charkhi Dadri; the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking pre-arrest bail.

*Counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that the petitioner is a lady aged about 60 years, the genesis of the FIR in question is primarily a civil dispute and the main accused namely Rajiv stands arrested and has since been released on regular bail. In order to buttress his arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner has relied upon the dicta of the judgments of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Arnesh Kumar Vs. State of Bihar and another, AIR 2014 SC 2756** and **Mohd. Asfak Alam Vs. The State of Jharkhand, 2023 AIR SC 3610**.*

Adjourned to 28.10.2025.

The petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 26.09.2025 at 11:00 A.M. in concerned Police Station and join investigation. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to her furnishing personal/surety bond(s) to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when further called by Investigating Officer, the petitioner shall join the investigation. She shall abide by the condition(s) enumerated under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023."

3. Learned State counsel (on instructions Inspector Veer Singh) has submitted that the petitioner has joined investigation but her custodial interrogation is required for unearthing the entire conspiracy/genesis of the offence(s).

4. Keeping in view the factual milieu of the case in hand, especially the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated therein, the petition is allowed and the order dated 22.09.2025 granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner is hereby made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS.

5. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.
6. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS or upon showing any other sufficient cause.
7. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.
8. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

28.10.2025
Naveen

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No