



IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND  
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

1. CRM-M-49354-2025 (O&M)

Sukhjeet Singh @ Sukhpreet Singh @ Sukh ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab ...Respondent

2. CRM-M-49436-2025 (O&M)

Harjot Singh @ Jota ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab ...Respondent

Reserved on : 04.11.2025  
Pronounced on : 07.11.2025

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present:- Ms. Dolly Sharma, Advocate  
for the petitioner in CRM-M-49354-2025.

Mr. Vikas Gupta, Advocate  
for the petitioner in CRM-M-49436-2025.

Ms. Sakshi Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

MANISHA BATRA, J

1. This common order shall dispose of above mentioned two petitions as they arise out of the same FIR and seek similar relief.
2. Prayer in these petitions, filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, is for grant of regular bail to the petitioners in case bearing FIR No. 147 dated 05.07.2025, registered under Sections 21, 25, 27-A and 29 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (*for short 'NDPS Act'*) at Police Station Jandiala, District Amritsar.
3. Brief facts of the case relevant for the disposal of the present petition are that on 05.07.2025, the petitioners and co-accused Kuldeep

2025:PHHC:152348



Singh @ Baggo, while coming on a motorbike, were apprehended by a police party and recovery of 15 grams of heroin and drug money of Rs. 2,000/- was effected from them. They were formally arrested at the spot. Since then, the petitioners are in custody.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners have submitted that they have been falsely implicated in this case. In fact, the alleged recovered contraband was planted on them. They are not involved in any other case. Even otherwise, the quantity of the recovered contraband does not fall under commercial quantity, being 15 grams. The petitioners are in judicial custody since 05.07.2025. Conclusion of trial will take considerable time. No useful purpose would be served by keeping them in custody anymore. Therefore, it is urged that the petitions deserve to be allowed and the petitioners deserve to be released on regular bail.

5. Separate status reports have been filed by the respondent-State. It is submitted by learned State counsel that keeping in view the gravity of the allegations levelled against the petitioners, they are not entitled to get benefit of bail. Hence, it is urged that the petitions are liable to be dismissed.

6. This Court has heard the submissions made by both the sides.

7. The petitioners are in custody since 05.07.2025. The quantity of the contraband allegedly recovered from them does not fall under commercial quantity. They have clean antecedents and are not shown to be involved in any other case. Trial will take considerable time to conclude. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, this Court is of the considered opinion that no useful purpose would be served by keeping them in custody anymore. Accordingly, the present petitions are allowed. The petitioners are

2025:PHHC:152348



ordered to be released on regular bail, subject to their furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned. However, it will be open for the prosecution to apply for cancellation of bail in case the petitioners are found involved in any other subsequent case.

8. It is made clear that any observation made herein above is only for the purpose of deciding the present petitions and the same shall have no bearing on the merits of the case.

9. Let a photocopy of this order be placed on the file of the connected case.

07.11.2025  
*Wassem Ansari*

(MANISHA BATRA)  
JUDGE

*Whether speaking/reasoned*  
*Whether reportable*

*Yes/No*  
*Yes/No*