



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

118

CWP-26216-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 04.09.2025

Manoj Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Chandeeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, Addl. A.G., Haryana
for respondent No.1.

Mr. R.K. Chaudhary, Advocate
for Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of *certiorari*, for quashing/setting aside the order dated 30.03.2019 (Annexure P-11) vide which respondent No.4 – Sanjeet Kumar who belongs to the General Category was promoted to the post of Head Draftsman as the said post was reserved for promotion amongst Scheduled Caste Category at Roster Point No.8. Further prayer has been



made to grant the benefit of promotion to the petitioner on the post of Head Draftsman w.e.f. 10.10.2018 alongwith all other consequential benefits. Another prayer has been made to quash/set-aside the Provisional Seniority list of Draftsman Cadre wherein the petitioner was been placed at Sr. No.4.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be satisfied if the instant writ petition of the petitioner is treated as a comprehensive representation and the same be decided by respondent No.2 by passing a speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for respondent No.2, appearing on advance notice, submits that they have no objection, in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2 for time-bound consideration and decision thereof by passing a speaking order.

4. Therefore, in view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, the respondent No.2 is directed to treat this writ petition as a comprehensive representation and consider the case of the petitioner and pass a speaking order, after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, within a period of 03 months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order. Further, the decision taken thereof shall be conveyed to the petitioner. Needless to say, if the petitioner is found entitled to the relief sought, the same shall be granted forthwith by respondent No.2.

5. Any deviation from the directions issued by this Court shall entitle the petitioner to file an application under Article 215 of the



Constitution of India for initiation of contempt of court proceedings against the respondent.

6. Disposed of, accordingly.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

04.09.2025
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No