



138 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-25677-2025

Date of Decision: 01.09.2025

SUNIL MALIK

...PETITIONER

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ROHIT KAPOOR**

Present:- Mr. Aditya Yadav, Advocate with
 Mr. Atul Bhardwaj, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

Mr. Puneet Gupta, Addl. AG, Haryana.

ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the issue raised in the instant writ petition has already been answered in the judgment dated 22.05.2025 of the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court passed in ***CWP-16904-2021*** and connected cases in which it is held as under;-

“37. Since, we have set aside the criteria of adding up to 10 bonus marks on the basis of socio-economic criteria and experience, we issue following directions:-

A. The State would be required to publish a revised result and on the basis of the revised result, the candidates who are found to be meritorious, would be entitled to be considered for appointment for the concerned posts which were advertised in the year 2019.

B. Those candidates, who have already been appointed, if they fall in the said merit would continue to perform their



duties.

C. Those appointees, who are going to be ousted on account of the revised merit list, shall be allowed to continue against future posts and in this regard, the State Government may conduct an exercise of finding out vacancies for them. If no vacancies are available, they will be allowed to continue on ad hoc basis till vacancies are made available. Their appointments would be treated from the date the vacancies are so made available and would have no claim on the posts which were advertised vide advertisement in 2019. The power is being exercised to save such appointments as there was no fault of such persons, who have already appointed and have been working for years now.

D. The candidates, who are placed in the revised merit, will be treated as senior to those whose appointments have been saved although not falling in the merit.

E. The new incumbents, who would be selected on account of the revised merit list, would be entitled to claim their appointments from the date the similarly situated other candidates were appointed with all consequential benefits of seniority and pay parity. However, their salary would be fixed notionally from the date others were appointed till the date they join the post.

F. The exercise shall be conducted within the period of three months.

38. *In view of the above, all these Writ Petitions stand disposed of in the aforesaid terms.*

39. All pending applications in these cases shall stand disposed of accordingly.”

2. For the reasons enumerated therein, the instant writ petition is also disposed of in terms of CWP-16904-2021 and connected cases.

3. All pending miscellaneous applications, if any, in this case are disposed of accordingly.

[ASHWANI KUMAR MISHRA]
JUDGE

[ROHIT KAPOOR]
JUDGE

SEPTEMBER 01st, 2025
Rahul Joshi

- | | | |
|----|---------------------------|--------|
| 1. | Whether Speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether Reportable | Yes/No |