



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

115

CRWP-9415-2025
Date of decision: 10.09.2025

ANSHIKA AND ANOTHER

....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present : Mr. Digvijay Nagpal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH. J.(Oral)

1. Prayer in the instant petition, filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of direction to the official respondents to provide protection of lives and liberty to the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of the private respondents.

2. In the instant petition, on 29.08.2025, following order was passed:-

“i) Prayer in the instant petition, filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of direction to the official respondents to provide protection of lives and liberty to the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of the private respondents.

ii) It is noticed that petitioner No.1, who is aged 18 years, is resident of Krishana Niwas, Rajgarh Road, Ward No.7, Tehsil and District Solan, Himachal Pradesh.

iii) Learned State Counsel is directed to ascertain and verify the facts pertaining to the registration of any criminal proceedings, if any, instituted against the petitioners, including any case or investigation concerning missing of Petitioner No. 1.

iv) List on 10.09.2025

v) To be shown in the urgent list.”



3. Learned State counsel on instructions from H.C Bohar Singh, Police Station City Malout submits that as per the statement of Kuldeep Chand (respondent No.4) father of petitioner No.1, no such complaint has ever been moved by them.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have solemnized marriage being major and of marriageable age, against the wishes of their family members, who are threatening and interfering in the matrimonial life of the petitioners. It is the first marriage of both the petitioners. Hence, the petitioners are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition. They have also submitted a representation dated 27.08.2025 (Annexure P-5), to respondent No. 2– Senior Superintendent of Police, Sri Muktsar Sahib, wherein, they have expressed their apprehension.

5. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2– Senior Superintendent of Police, Sri Muktsar Sahib, to look into the representation dated 27.08.2025 (Annexure P-5), *qua* threat perception, and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners are not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents.

6. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

10.09.2025
amandeep

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No