

**134 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2025:PHHC:117627-DB



**CWP-25791-2025 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION : 01.09.2025**

**M/S PUNJAB BREEDERS LIMITED AND ANOTHER
... PETITIONERS
V/S
STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS ... RESPONDENTS**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARMOD GOYAL**

Present: Mr. Akshay Kumar Jindal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Akhil Kamra, AAG Punjab.

Ms. Avin Sandhu, Advocate with
Ms. Karina Kalra, Advocate for respondent Nos.2 and 3.

* * *

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The present writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondent No. 2 to grant NOC to sell the already bifurcated plot and for directing respondent No.1 to incorporate changes in the zoning plan/layout. A further direction has been sought directing respondent Nos.1 and 2 to decide the representation dated 28.05.2025 (Annexure P-14).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.1 had been allotted an industrial plot in the year 1993. He had sought bifurcation of the plot in terms of Notification issued by the respondents on 12.09.2018 which was allowed and the petitioners also deposited the bifurcation fee @ Rs. 50/- per sq. Yard. He also submits that the petitioners want to sell the land but the respondents are not issuing NOC. The

bifurcated plot is not reflected in the zoning plan. He submits that petitioner No.1 has sent the representation dated 28.05.2025 (Annexure P-14) in this regard for reflecting the bifurcation plot in the zoning plan and for issuance of NOC which has not been provided till date. He further submits that the petition be disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation of petitioner No.1 in accordance with law.

3. Issue notice to the respondents .

4. Mr. Akhil Kamra, AAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1 - State and Ms. Avin Sandhu, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.2 and 3.

5. Heard.

6. In view of the above, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation dated 28.05.2025 (Annexure P-14) within a period of two months, from now after affording an opportunity of personal hearing to the petitioners in accordance with law.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

01.09.2025
Janki

(PARMOD GOYAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No