

CRM-M-49663-2025
CRM-M-49737-2025 and
CRM-M-55182-2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

204-2 CRM-M-49663-2025

Sumit and others		Petitioners
	Versus	
State of Haryana and another		...Respondents

204 CRM-M-49737-2025

Abhishek Yadav		Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Haryana		...Respondent

205 CRM-M-55182-2025

Manish @ Nata		Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Haryana and anr		...Respondent

Decided on :17.10.2025

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Rahul Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioner(s)
(in CRM-M-49737-2025).

Mr. M.S. Kathuria, Advocate
for the petitioner(s)
(in CRM-M-49663-2025 and CRM-M-55182-2025).

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Paras Choudhary, Advocate



CRM-M-49663-2025
CRM-M-49737-2025 and
CRM-M-55182-2025

2

for the respondent(s)
(in CRM-M-49663-2025).

Mr. Vikas, Advocate for the complainant
(in CRM-M-49737-2025)

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. This judgment shall decide the fate of CRM-M-49663-2025, CRM-M-49737-2025, and CRM-M-55182-2025.
2. The petition bearing CRM-M-49663-2025 has been filed by the petitioners, namely Sumit, Manish, and Abhishek Yadav, seeking the quashing of First Information Report (FIR) No. 43 dated 18.01.2025, registered under Sections 110, 115, 120, 190, 191(3), and 351(3) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS) at Police Station City Yamunanagar, District Yamunanagar, Haryana, along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of a compromise dated 11.08.2025 (Annexure P-2) effected between the parties.
3. In addition to the aforementioned quashing petition, the petitioners Abhishek Yadav and Manish @ Nata have filed separate petitions—CRM-M-49737-2025 and CRM-M-55182-2025, respectively—seeking regular bail in connection with the same FIR.
4. During the hearing of the quashing petition (CRM-M-49663-2025), learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that, vide order dated 08.09.2025, the affected parties were directed to appear

CRM-M-49663-2025
CRM-M-49737-2025 and
CRM-M-55182-2025

3

before the learned Trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate for the purpose of recording their respective statements regarding the compromise.

5. Report has since been received from learned Additional Sessions Judge, Yamunanagar at Jagadhri, in pursuance to the directions of this Court wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report(s) compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the private respondents have also made statement to the effect that they would have no objection if the FIR qua the accused-petitioners is quashed.

6. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, along with its report. The relevant part of the said report is reproduced herebelow:

Sr. No.	Description	
1.	Total number of persons found involved as accused in the dispute/FIR	Three persons namely Abhishek Yadav, Manish and Sumit alias Tomar were arrayed as accused
2.	Number of complainant/victim(s)	One person namely Prince alias Murga alias Ganga
3.	Whether all the accused and complainant / victims are party to compromise & signed the same	Yes
4.	In case, any affected person(accused or complainant) is left out or not arrayed as party in the quashing petition before High Court, detail whereas; Or	No

CRM-M-49663-2025
CRM-M-49737-2025 and
CRM-M-55182-2025

4

	His/her statement is till to be recorded, in compliance to the direction of this Court, details of such person	
5.	Whether any accused has been declared as a proclaimed offender/person or any such proceedings against him/her have been initiated or pending adjudication	No
6.	Report of the Court whether compromise is genuine, voluntary, and without any coercion or undue influence	Yes, as per the statements of affected parties recorded in Court, affidavit of complainant relied upon by him and the entire available facts and circumstances on record indicate the compromise to be genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence.
7.	Any other aspect relevant to the present case.	Accused Abhishek is involved in two other FIRs while accused Sumit is involved in nine other FIRs.

7. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are the only named accused persons in the FIR in question, and that the private respondent is complainant/aggrieved party therein.
8. Learned State counsel has filed status report by way of affidavit of Kanwaljeet Singh,HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar on behalf of respondents/State in Court today. Same is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at appropriate place with the paper-book.



CRM-M-49663-2025
CRM-M-49737-2025 and
CRM-M-55182-2025

5

Learned State counsel as well as counsel for the complainant do not dispute the aforementioned factual position and have affirmed the same during the course of arguments.

9. In view of the report of the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Yamunanagar at Jagadhri, and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, petition for quashing, i.e., CRM-M-49663-2025, is allowed. Accordingly, FIR No. 43 dated 18.01.2025 and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners, namely Sumit, Manish , and Abhishek Yadav.

10. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below

11. This Court further observes that petitioner-Abhishek Yadav was granted the benefit of interim regular bail vide order dated 11.09.2025 passed in CRM-M-49737-2025. However, in the light of the fact that the FIR in question has been ordered to be quashed vide the present judgment, the necessity of adjudicating the said bail petition i.e CRM-M-49737-2025, as well as CRM-M-55182-2025 filed by petitioner Manish @ Nata, no longer survives.



CRM-M-49663-2025
CRM-M-49737-2025 and
CRM-M-55182-2025

Accordingly, both CRM-M-49737-2025 and CRM-M-55182-2025 are rendered infructuous and are disposed of as such.

Petitioners, namely **Abhishek Yadav** and **Manish @ Nata**, shall be released from custody forthwith, if not required to be detained in any other case.

12. A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of other connected case.

17.10.2025
rashmi

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO
Whether Reportable: YES/NO