



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

125

**CWP-25756-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 16.09.2025**

S K GHAI

.....Petitioner

Vs.

UNION TERRITORY OF CHANDIGARH AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Ms. Deepali Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anmol Partap Singh Mann,
Addl. Standing Counsel, UT, Chandigarh and
Mr. Karanvir Singh, Junior Panel Counsel, UT, Chandigarh
for the respondents.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (ORAL)**CM-13412-2025**

1. This is an application under Section 151 CPC moved by the petitioner to place on record short reply by affidavit filed by respondent UT in CRM-M-13264-2025 as Annexure P-9.

2. For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed. Annexure P-9 is taken on record.

CWP-25756-2025

3. This petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the speaking order dated 23.07.2025 (Annexure P-8) passed by respondent No.5 and for directing respondent No.6 to comply with direction passed by this Court on 04.04.2025 (Annexure P-7).

4. On advance notice, Mr. Anmol Partap Singh Mann, Addl. Standing



Counsel, UT, Chandigarh and Mr. Karanvir Singh, Junior Panel Counsel, UT, Chandigarh have put in appearance on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 6. They have taken a preliminary objection that the petitioner has approached this Court with tainted hands. They submit that the petitioner filed a complaint before the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Chandigarh under Section 181, 192, 193, 194, 196, 209, 420 and 120-B of IPC, which was dismissed on 01.07.2019 and petitioner has filed the revision petition, which is pending before the Sessions Court at Chandigarh. It is further stated that the petitioner has approached this Court by filing a petition (CRM-M-48747-2025) and this Court has called for report from the revisional Court. Counsel for the official respondents assert that without bringing these vital facts to the notice of this Court, the petitioner has approached this Court twice over.

5. This Court is *prima facie* of the view that as petitioner has concealed material facts from this Court, petition deserves to be dismissed with costs.

6. At this stage, counsel for the petitioner has sought and is granted permission to withdraw the present petition.

7. Petition is hereby dismissed as withdrawn.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

16.09.2025

pry

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No