



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

208

CRM-M-47807-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 04.12.2025

Jagdish Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Saurav Bhatia, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG Punjab

Ms. Navdeep, Advocate for respondent No.2

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.81 dated 08.06.2025, registered under Section 318(4) BNS, at Police Station Balachour, District SBS Nagar, Punjab.

2. On 04.09.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“CRM-35446-2025

For the reasons mentioned in the application for impleading the complainant as respondent No.2, the same stands allowed. Complainant-Jasvir Singh is impleaded as respondent No.2 in the present petition. Amended memo of parties is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at the appropriate place.

CRM-M-47807-2025

Learned counsel submits that the petitioner is running a Kiryana store and miscellaneous products in the vicinity of the village, where he resides. He and two others were planning to go abroad for settling and the complainant wanted to join and paid an amount of Rs.3,40,000/-, Rs.8,80,000/- and Rs.2,40,000/- on 16.12.2024 to the petitioner as he was holding a current account, which has a higher limit of transactions. The same was transferred to co-accused Sandeep, who is accused in FIR No.111 dated 02.08.2025, Annexure P-2 lodged by the petitioner. Thus, he himself is also a victim. He is not involved in any other case and is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. M.S. Bajwa, DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 12.09.2025. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482 (2) BNSS.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 29.10.2025.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the afore-mentioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.
4. Learned State counsel on instructions affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.
5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 04.09.2025 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 482(2) BNSS.
6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

04.12.2025
M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No