



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

202

CRM-M-48267-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 19.12.2025

Harish Kalra

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Atul Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG Punjab

Mr. Inderpal Singh Parmar, Advocate for the complainant

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.188 dated 27.07.2025, registered under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 351(2), 191(3), 190 BNS (Section 109 BNS added later on), at Police Station Division No.7, District Police Commissionerate, Ludhiana.

2. On 02.09.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel submits that the allegations against the petitioner are of having caused injuries to the complainant and his brother, however, he had also received injuries at their hands with regard to which MLR (Annexure P5) is referred to. The settlement has been arrived at between the parties wherein besides compensation of Rs.67,000 that includes one months’ rent, the petitioner shall vacate the premises in question within a period of 2 months, the security amount lying with the complainant shall be adjusted by them towards another partial payment of rent and the security cheques lying with him shall be returned. He is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. MS Bajwa, DAG, Punjab, appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and Mr. Inderpal Singh Parmar, Advocate appears on behalf of the complainant and affirms the factum of compromise.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 17.09.2025. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 13.10.2025.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the afore-mentioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.
4. Learned State counsel on instructions from the investigating officer affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.
5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 02.09.2025 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 482(2) BNSS.
6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

19.12.2025

M.Kamra/parveen kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No