



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
(224)

CRM-M-47944-2025 (O & M)
Date of decision: 06.11.2025

Ankit

.... Petitioner

V/s

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. T.P. Singh, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)

The prayer in the present petition under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 is for the grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.105 dated 25.03.2025 under Sections 115, 310(2), 140(3) of BNS (Section 238(B) of BNS added later on) registered at Police Station Sadar Sonipat, District Sonipat.

2. The present FIR came to be registered at the instance of Govind son of Joginder and reads as under:-

To The SHO Sahib, Police Station Sadar Sonipat, Sir, I request that I am Govind son of Joginder, resident of Gohana. I work as a MAKE ARTIST. Today I had gone to Delhi Sadar Bazar to buy MAKE UP goods, and I got late in returning, so I reached Sonipat by bus and at around 10 pm, near Subhash Stadium, Sonipat, I boarded an unknown Eeco car with some other boy and left for Gohana. Five people including the driver were



::2::

already sitting in that Eeco car. As soon as they reached beyond Badwasni village from Sonipat, they took the car on the wrong side towards Gohana and kept driving. Then one of them, who was sitting on the back seat and I was sitting on the middle seat, the person in the back seat took out a knife and threatened me and said give me whatever I had, so I gave him all the money I had, which was about 1500 rupees, a gold ring and a gold chain along with the bag and gave him my IPHONE 12 PRO PURPLE COLOUR which had the number 9671108706. Even after that everyone started stabbing me with knives. A boy who was sitting with me in the Eeco car near Subhash stadium, Sonipat, was also beaten with knives. When we reached in front of the Power House from the flyover Machhari village, I somehow jumped out of the car and saved my life. Then that Eeco car went back towards Sonipat with the other boy. Then I somehow walked to Mohana police station and informed the police. Then from Mohana police station I was taken along with my family members to the Government Hospital, Sonipat for treatment. There my MLR was cut and I was bandaged and then I was referred to BPS Khanpur. I have got my written application written by my brother-in-law Tilak Raj son of Mohan Lal, resident of Daya Nagar Karnal and presented it to you. Appropriate legal action may be taken. SD GOVIND. Name Govind S/O Joginder.

3. During the course of investigation, accused Sanjay, Surender @ Baba and Akash were arrested. They got recovered one white Maruti Eeco car bearing registration No.HR14P 6852, one blue iphone, one chocolate-coloured leather bag, one make up kit and one blue tool kit. Subsequently, on 28.03.2025, juvenile Rehan was apprehended. Then, on 02.05.2025, Ankit (petitioner) was arrested and got recovered one blue VIVO phone and



::3::

one white iphone. He disclosed that the weapon of offence had been thrown by him in the canal.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. Taking the allegations to be correct, both injured, namely, Govind and Vijay have received simple injuries. As the petitioner is in custody since 02.05.2025 but none of the 19 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far, the Trial in the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon and therefore, he is entitled to the concession of bail.

5. The learned counsel for the State while referring to the reply dated 30.10.2025 contends that the petitioner and his co-accused came to be nominated as accused during the course of the investigation. The recoveries of various articles have been effected from the petitioner and his co-accused. The nature of allegations levelled against him do not entitle him to the concession of bail. He, however, concedes that the injured have received simple injuries, that the petitioner is in custody since 02.05.2025 and that one of the 19 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

7. The veracity of the prosecution case against the petitioner and his co-accused shall be adjudicated upon during the course of the Trial. Admittedly, the petitioner is in custody since 02.05.2025 but none of the 19 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far. Therefore, the Trial in the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon. In this situation, the further incarceration of the petitioner is not required.



8. Thus without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner-Ankit is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned CJM/Duty Magistrate, concerned.
9. If any attempt whatsoever is made by the petitioner and/or his family members/friends to contact/threaten/intimidate any of the witnesses of the present occurrence, the State/complainant shall be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of bail granted vide this order.
10. In addition, the petitioner (or anyone on his behalf) shall prepare an FDR in the sum of Rs.50,000/- and deposit the same with the Trial Court. The same would be liable to be forfeited as per law in case of the absence of the petitioner from trial without sufficient cause.
11. The petition stands disposed of.
12. The pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of accordingly.

November 06, 2025
sukhpreet

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

: Yes/No
: Yes/No