



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

207

CRA-S-2654-2025

Date of decision: 04.11.2025

PARAMJIT SINGH

....Appellant

**Versus**

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR**

Present : Mr.L.M. Gulati, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gorav Kathuria, DAG Punjab.

Mr. Navraj Singh, Advocate for  
Mr. S.K. Virk, Advocate for respondent No.2.**YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR. J.(Oral)**

1. This appeal is directed against the order dated 21.8.2025, vide which the application for anticipatory bail under Sections 351(2) of BNS (506 of IPC) and 3 of Scheduled Castes & Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 registered at Police Station Chabbewal, Hoshiarpur, moved by the appellant before the learned Special Judge was rejected.

2. On 10.09.2025, following order was passed:-

*“This appeal is directed against the order dated 21.8.2025, vide which the application for anticipatory bail under Sections 351(2) of BNS (506 of IPC) and 3 of Scheduled Castes & Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 registered at Police Station Chabbewal, Hoshiarpur, moved by the appellant before the learned Special Judge was rejected.*

*Status report by way of affidavit of Palwinder Singh, DSP, Sub-Division, Chabbewal, District Hoshiarpur filed on behalf of the State is ordered to be taken on record.*

*Learned counsel for the appellant argued that initially, the complainant who is Sarpanch of the village had submitted a complaint to Deputy Commissioner, Hoshiarpur that the appellant quarrelled with her and other*



- 2-

*members of the Panchayat when she was getting encroachment removed and they also attacked her and other Panchayat members. No allegation was levelled in this complaint dated 9.7.2025 given to the Deputy Commissioner that the appellant or anyone else had abused the Sarpanch in the name of her caste or used derogatory words. However, subsequently, FIR was got registered on 11.8.2025 regarding the occurrence which took place on 8.7.2025 after a delay of 3 days wherein the allegations have been levelled that he abused her as 'chamari, bitch.*

*Learned counsel for the appellant contended that the false allegations have been levelled to make it a stringent offence taking advantage of delay in lodging the FIR which is contrary to the initial complaint submitted to the Deputy Commissioner, Hoshiarpur on 9.7.2025. However, learned Special Court has failed to appreciate the facts in correct perspective while rejecting the application for anticipatory bail.*

*On the other hand, learned State counsel assisted by the counsel for the complainant has opposed the bail and argued that the appellant has committed heinous offence and he has abused the Sarpanch of the village in derogatory manner in the name of her caste and the application for anticipatory bail was not maintainable in view of Section 18 of Scheduled Castes & Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 and the learned Special Judge has rightly dismissed the bail.*

*Adjourned to 4.11.2025.*

*Meanwhile, the appellant is hereby directed to join the investigation and in the event of his arrest, he shall be released on ad-interim anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating officer subject to the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS (erstwhile Section 438(2) of Cr.P.C.) :-*

- 1. that the appellant shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- 2. that the appellant shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the*



- facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;*
3. *that the appellant shall not leave India without the prior permission of the Court;*
4. *such other condition as may be imposed under sub-section (3) of Section 480, as if the bail were granted under that section.”*

3. Today, learned State counsel has informed this Court that the appellant has joined the investigation, in compliance of the order dated 10.09.2025 and is no longer required for further investigation.
4. In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 10.09.2025, whereby the appellant was granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute. However, he shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.
5. Disposed of.
6. Pending misc application (s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)  
JUDGE

04.11.2025  
amandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No  
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No