

2025:PHHC:089279



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

216

CWP-32918-2019 (O & M)
Date of decision: 21.07.2025

Gaurav Gagneja

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. P.K.Goklaney, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner, who was working as Clerk-cum-Data Entry Operator in Moga Central Cooperative Bank Limited, Moga, was appointed as Punjabi Master, vide letter dated 18.11.2015, however, is not being granted pay protection despite the Instructions dated 07.11.2017, Annexure P-8, that squarely apply to his case and the Instructions dated 25.09.2017, Annexure P-6, based on which his claim has been rejected, cannot be made to apply retrospectively. He prays for re-consideration of the matter taking into consideration the Instructions dated 07.11.2017, in a time bound manner.

2. The aforesaid facts having been brought out, during the course of hearing, learned State counsel, on instructions, states that the respondents would not be averse to having a relook at the matter and decide afresh, taking note of the Instructions dated 07.11.2017, within a

period of 4 months, uninfluenced by the order(s) impugned in the present case, which this Court has no reason to believe the authorities would not address in a just, fair and reasonable manner.

3. The aforesaid satisfies the learned counsel for the petitioner.

4. The matter stands disposed of accordingly and if the petitioner is found entitled, grant the benefit forthwith. Needless to say, if the orders are adverse to his interest, the same may be passed after granting opportunity of hearing to him and shall contain reasons, whereupon they shall be free to seek legal redress thereupon.

21.07.2025

parveen kumar

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No