



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(202) **CM-12582-CWP-2025**
CM-12581-CWP-2025
RA-CW-378-2025 in CWP-33960-2024
Date of Decision : November 28, 2025

Tarsem Chand and others **.. Petitioners**

Versus

Union of India and others **.. Respondents**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Rajesh Hooda, Advocate,
for the applicant-respondents No. 2 and 3.

Mr. Padamkant Dwivedi, Advocate,
for the non-applicant/petitioners.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

CM-12582-CWP-2025

Present application has been filed seeking condonation of delay of 153 days in filing the review application.

Notice of the application has already been issued.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of non-applicant/petitioners raises no objection for the grant of prayer as raised in the present application.

Keeping in view the averments made in the application, which are duly supported by an affidavit, the application is allowed. Delay of 153 days in filing the review application is condoned.

CM-12581-CWP-2025

As prayed for, the application is allowed.

RA-CW-378-2025

1. Present review application has been filed for recalling the order dated 21.02.2025 by which, the writ petition i.e. CWP No.33960 of 2024 was disposed of in terms of the judgment passed by the Coordinate Bench of this Court in ***CWP No.5378 of 2024 titled as Inderjit Singh Kaknian and others vs. Union of India and others, decided on 12.09.2024.***

2. Learned counsel for the applicant-respondents No. 2 and 3 submits that though, the counsel had appeared but inadvertently, certain facts were not brought to the notice of this Court that the petitioners herein were not similarly situated as the petitioners in ***Inderjit Singh Kaknian's case (supra)*** and therefore, the said writ petition could not have been disposed of in terms of the judgment passed in ***Inderjit Singh Kaknian's case (supra)***.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant-respondents No. 2 and 3 further submits that the entire petition pleadings are misreading.

4. Learned counsel for the non-applicant/petitioners submits that the case is covered by the judgment in ***Inderjit Singh Kaknian's case (supra)***.

5. Keeping in view the fact that the parties are at variance whether keeping in view the facts of the present case, the same is covered or not, the review application is allowed. Order dated 21.02.2025 is recalled and the writ petition i.e. CWP No.33960 of 2024 is restored to its original number and status.

CWP-33960-2024

To be listed as per roster.

November 28, 2025
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No