



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-47379-2025

Date of decision: 12.11.2025

ANKUSH

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL

Present:- Mr. Namit Khurana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Mohit Chaudhary, AAG Haryana.

.....

RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL, J. (ORAL)

1. Through the instant petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (For short "BNSS"), the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No.125 dated 01.08.2025 under Sections 115(2), 117(2), 333, 3(5) BNS, registered at Police Station Sadar Jagadhari, District Yamuna Nagar.

2. On 22.09.2025, following order had been passed: -

" Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.125 dated 01.08.2025 registered under Sections 115(2), 117(2), 333, 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, at Police Station Sadar Jagadhri, District Yamuna Nagar.

Reply filed by the State in Court today, is taken on record.

Brief facts as per the prosecution case are that the petitioner along with co-accused Gurpreet Singh trespassed into the house of the complainant, took him out and caused him injuries with a bat, as a result of which the complainant became unconscious and had to be hospitalised.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He argued that a civil suit is already pending adjudication between father of the complainant and Kulbeer, real brother of co-accused



Gurpreet Singh. In fact, the complainant had met with an accident and suffered injuries, but as a counter-blast to the civil suit proceedings, the present false FIR has been got lodged after deliberations. The occurrence is alleged to have taken place on 27.07.2025 and the FIR was lodged on 01.08.2025 i.e. after a delay of 5 days. Further, co-accused Gurpreet Singh has been granted the concession of anticipatory bail by the Sessions Judge, Yamuna Nagar vide order dated 25.08.2025 (Annexure P-4). Learned counsel has further submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so by the investigating agency.

Adjourned to 30.10.2025.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join investigation within a week from today and would appear as and when required by the Investigating Officer and cooperate with the Investigating Agency. In the event of arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on furnishing of bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 22.09.2025 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation.
4. Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from H.C. Amit Kumar, has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no longer required for further investigation.
5. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, the interim order dated 22.09.2025 is made absolute. The petitioner shall continue to join investigation, as and when called by the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

12.11.2025
puneet

(RUPINDERJIT CHAHAL)
JUDGE