



131 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-25482-2025

Date of Decision:29.08.2025

Seema Jain and Others

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Arun Bansal, Advocate with
 Mr. Anubhav Bansal, Advocate and
 Ms. Anju, Advocate
 for the petitioners.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners have filed the present petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India with a prayer to issuance a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant necessary sanction to the appointment of petitioners No.1 to 3 as JBT Teacher, petitioner No.4 as SS Mistress and petitioner No.5 as Clerk on the aided posts. A further prayer has been made to direct the respondents to take a decision on the recommendations/letters dated 06.09.2024 (Annexures P-9 to P-11).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have submitted a representation dated 15.07.2025 (Annexure P-14) to respondent No.2 seeking the same relief, but no decision has been conveyed to them, so far. He further contends that he shall be satisfied, in case appropriate directions are issued to respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 15.07.2025 (Annexure P-14) in a time bound manner, in accordance with law.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents-State and has no objection to the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. After hearing learned counsel for the parties, it would be appropriate to direct respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 15.07.2025 (Annexure P-14), submitted by the petitioners, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. It is expected that respondent No.2 shall pass a speaking and well-reasoned order by taking into consideration the relevant rules and instructions in the settled law. In case, the petitioners are found entitled to any consequential benefit, the same shall also be granted to them, forthwith.

7. The present petition is disposed of, accordingly.

29.08.2025
hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No