

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

2025.PHHC.118986



108

CRWP-9366-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 03.09.2025.

Anmol and another

...Petitioners.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SUKHVINDER KAUR

Present: Ms. Bandana Dogra, Advocate for the petitioners.

SUKHVINDER KAUR, J. (Oral)

CRM-W-1282-2025

Prayer in this application is for placing on record original marriage certificate dated 22.08.2025.

Allowed as prayed for. Original Marriage certificate is taken on record, subject to just exceptions.

CRWP-9366-2025

Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to official respondents No.1 to 3 to provide protection of life and liberty to the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of private respondents No.4 to 6.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both the petitioners are major, petitioner No.1 – Anmol, aged 19 years and petitioner No.2 – Ramesh Kumar, aged 29 years and have got married on 22.08.2025, against the wishes of their family members, arrayed as respondents No.4 to 6. The copies of 'Aadhaar' card of petitioners have been placed on record as Annexures P-1 and P-2. Copy of Marriage certificate as well as the Marriage photographs are annexed as Annexures P-3 and P-4.

It has been further submitted that the private respondents are threatening to interfere in the marital life of the petitioners. Hence, they are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Gagandeep Kaur, DAG, Punjab, appears through VC and accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State.

In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioners, the petition is disposed off with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the representation dated 25.08.2025 (Annexure P-5) at the earliest and take necessary steps, if any required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

(SUKHVNDER KAUR)
JUDGE

03.09.2025

jyoti3

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned?</i>	:	<i>Yes/ No</i>
<i>Whether reportable?</i>	:	<i>Yes/ No</i>