

Date of decision: 13.11.2025

RAJE AND ANOTHER

V/S

...RESPONDENTS

SURAJ AND OTHERS

V/S

...RESPONDENTS

Mr. Karan Veer Singh, Senior DAG, Haryana.

1. This order shall dispose of the above-mentioned petitions as both are version and cross-version. For the sake of brevity, facts are taken from



CRM-M-48592-2025 titled as ***Raje and another vs. State of Haryana and another.***

2. Prayer in these petitions is for quashing of FIR No.534 dated 09.08.2018 registered under Sections 323, 506 and 34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC') at Police Station Suraj Kund, District Faridabad as well as FIR No.533 dated 09.08.2018 registered under Sections 323, 506 and 34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC') (Section 307 of IPC added vide order dated 12.09.2025 passed in CRM-M-48813-2025) at Police Station Suraj Kund, District Faridabad and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise arrived at between the parties.

3. The above stated FIR No.534 dated 09.08.2018 was registered on the statement of complainant/respondent No.2 Sachin and FIR No.533 dated 09.08.2018 was registered on the statement of complainant/respondent No.2-Raje.

4. On notice of motion, respondent No(s).2 appeared in the Court through their counsel and pleaded that they have no objection if the FIRs in both the cases is quashed on the basis of the aforesaid compromise, which has been effected between the parties.

5. During the course of preliminary hearing, the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate was directed to record the statements of the all the concerned parties with regard to genuineness and validity or otherwise of the aforesaid compromise.

6. In compliance thereof, report from the Court of learned Additional District and Sessions Judge, Faridabad along with statements of the parties has been received in both the cases, in which, it is mentioned that the



compromise is genuine and there was no undue influence or coercion from any side.

7. This Court has heard learned counsel for the parties.

8. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) and for respondent No(s).2 are *ad idem* that in view of the settlement effected between the parties, both the petitions deserve to be accepted. It has also come on record that the aforesaid compromise is genuine and the parties effected the same without any undue influence or coercion.

9. In view of above, nothing remains to be adjudicated further in the present cases. Thus, continuation of the criminal proceedings between the parties would be a futile exercise and sheer wastage of time of the Court and thus, amounts to abuse of process of law.

10. For the reasons afore-stated and having regard to the law laid down by Hon'ble Apex Court in ***Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543*** and Five Judges Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, both the petitions are allowed and FIR No.534 dated 09.08.2018 registered under Sections 323, 506 and 34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC') at Police Station Suraj Kund, District Faridabad as well as FIR No.533 dated 09.08.2018 registered under Sections 323, 506 and 34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC') (Section 307 of IPC added vide order dated 12.09.2025 passed in CRM-M-48813-2025) at Police Station Suraj Kund, District Faridabad and all the subsequent proceedings are hereby quashed qua the petitioner(s), subject to payment of Rs.10,000/- as cost in CRM-M-48592-2025 and Rs.30,000/- as cost in CRM-M-48813-2025, to be

deposited with Haryana State Legal Services Authority, Panchkula, in account No.11901238123 IFSC Code: SBIN00004172, State Bank of India, Sector-14, Panchkula.

11. Receipt regarding deposit of aforesaid cost be produced before the Court concerned. It is made clear that if cost is not deposited within a period of one month i.e. upto 13.12.2025, both the petitions shall be deemed to be dismissed.
12. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected case.

November 13, 2025
manisha

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

(i) Whether speaking/reasoned

(ii) Whether reportable

Yes/No

Yes/No